



Evaluation of matrix effect on the determination of rare earth elements and As, Bi, Cd, Pb, Se and In in honey and pollen of native Brazilian bees (*Tetragonisca angustula* – Jataí) by Q-ICP-MS



Fernanda Ataíde de Oliveira^{a,*}, Adriana Trópia de Abreu^a, Nathália de Oliveira Nascimento^b, Roberta Eliane Santos Froes-Silva^c, Yasmine Antonini^b, Hermínio Arias Nalini Jr.,^a, Jorge Carvalho de Lena^a

^a Department of Geology, Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto – UFOP, CEP 35400-000 Ouro Preto, MG, Brazil

^b Department of Biodiversity, Evolution and Environment, Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto – UFOP, CEP 35400-000 Ouro Preto, MG, Brazil

^c Department of Chemistry, Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto – UFOP, CEP 35400-000 Ouro Preto, MG, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Honey
Pollen
Native bees
Matrix effect
Rare earth elements
ICP-MS

ABSTRACT

Bees are considered the main pollinators in natural and agricultural environments. Chemical elements from honey and pollen have been used for monitoring the environment, the health of bees and the quality of their products. Nevertheless, there are not many studies on honey and pollen of native Brazilian bees. The goal of this work was to determine important chemical elements (Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Lu and Yb) along with As, Bi, Cd, Pb, Se and In, in honey and pollen of native Brazilian bees, assessing analytical interferences from the matrix. A proposed analytical method was developed for these elements by quadrupole ICP-MS. Matrix effect was verified in honey matrix in the quantification of As, Bi and Dy; and in pollen matrix for Bi, Cd, Ce, Gd, La, Pb and Sc. The quality of the method was considered satisfactory taking into consideration the recovery rate of each element in the spiked solutions: honey matrix (91.6–103.9%) and pollen matrix (94.1–115.6%). The quantification limits of the method ranged between 0.00041 and 10.3 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ for honey and 0.00041–0.095 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ for pollen. The results demonstrate that the method is accurate, precise and suitable.

1. Introduction

Honey and pollen are natural products commonly found in hives [1]. Honey produced by *Apis mellifera* bees is defined as a natural sweet substance produced by bees from the nectar of plants, either from secretions of living parts of plants, or excretions of plant-sucking insects. Honey bees collect and transform these raw materials and combine them with specific substances produced by them, depositing, dehydrating and storing them in honeycombs to ripen and mature it [1]. The honey produced by native wild stingless bees is stored in pots rather than in combs. This honey is also nectar or honeydew that has been concentrated and transformed [2]. Bees collect pollen as source of protein, and nectar as source of sugars, thereby promoting fertilization of plants. During their activities, bees cover a large area around the hive [3]. It is estimated that the honey produced in one day on a comb is the result of at least 1 million interactions between bees and flowers [4]. Bees are considered the main pollinators in natural and agricultur-

al environments [5], and represent an important element for the preservation of plant biodiversity [3]. This process is considered an environmental service or ecosystem service [5], and has large importance even in cultures that are not dependent on pollinators such as bees [6,7]. Some plants have their production success more affected by the pollination than the fertility of the soil or climate conditions [8]. Pollination is not the only ecosystem service of bees. They yield products which are used as food [5], cosmetics and pharmaceuticals [9,10].

In recent decades the concern with the preservation of bees has been growing due to the drastic losses of colonies. The decline of these colonies in winter 2009–2010 ranged from 7% to 30% in Europe; 16–25% in six Canadian provinces; and 40% in Nova Scotia [11]. The United States lost 44% of their honey bee colonies from April 2015 to April 2016 [12]. Among the many causes [13] for this decline is the Colony Collapse Disorder (CCD), a mysterious syndrome affecting bee hives, especially in Europe and in the US [12,14]. Other factors that

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: feataide@gmail.com (F.A. de Oliveira), adrianatropia@gmail.com (A.T. de Abreu), nathalianon@hotmail.com (N. de Oliveira Nascimento), robertafroes@iceb.ufop.br (R.E.S. Froes-Silva), antonini.y@gmail.com (Y. Antonini), herminio.nalini@gmail.com (H.A. Nalini), jorge.dilena@gmail.com (J.C. de Lena).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2016.10.058>

Received 2 August 2016; Received in revised form 12 October 2016; Accepted 14 October 2016

Available online 14 October 2016

0039-9140/© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

have contributed to the reduction of the number and variety of species of bees are mite infestation, growth of cities, use of pesticides, and the reduction of forests [14]. Moreover, native wild stingless bees also are vulnerable to competition from the invasive Africanized honey bees [15]. Alterations on pollination activity impair important ecosystem functions upon which our food supply depends, also interfering with the chemical composition and quality of products from bees [16].

The products of European and Africanized honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) are the most used ones in surveys on honey bee decline. Studies have shown that wild and native bees, e.g. stingless bees, are responsible for a considerable proportion of pollination [17] of different species of plants [18]. Hence, preserving the population of native bees and their colonies is of crucial importance for the maintenance of food resources [14].

During the pollination process, the honey bees come into contact with the environment [19]. Thereby the mineral composition of honey and pollen represents a fingerprint of their geographical and botanical origins [20–22], as well as environmental contamination [23–31], which enables their use for environment monitoring. Currently, there are not many studies using the chemical elements in honey and pollen involving Brazilian native bees [31–34]. Because of the lack of knowledge regarding these products, their possible use for monitoring the bees and their products is difficult [15,35].

Among the chemical elements, studied in the present work, the Rare Earth Elements (REE) are a chemically uniform group, and they are known for their great importance as indicators of soil processes, which contribute to increased interest in these elements in environmental sciences [36]. The remaining studied chemical elements, i.e., As, Bi, Cd, Pb, Se and In constitute persistent toxins, given their natural affinity for sulfhydryl groups of enzymes [33,34]. All of these elements occur in rocks and are mobilized by natural mechanisms such as weathering and biological activity, and due to anthropogenic activities such as mining for example.

The determination of chemical elements in honey and pollen matrices is a difficult analytical task [16,37]. Several techniques have been used in their determination in bee products. Presently, the most widely acceptable method for digestion of this type of matrix is the microwave oven, which has allowed the reduction of reagents quantities, temperature and time [31,38]. Tuzen et al. [39] compared three different methods of digestion in honey samples collected in the region of Turkey, and concluded that the analysis carried out in samples solubilized with the microwave oven had better rate recovery of the chemical elements. According to Linge [40], the most recommended technique for determination of chemical elements in honey and pollen is the ICP-MS (Mass Spectrometry – Inductively Coupled Plasma). This technique is considered a reliable and fast analytical method for trace and ultra trace elements [40,41].

The challenge of these chemical analyzes is the organic complex matrix. It can affect the analysis of individual components [32], due to colloidal particles and the large variety of organic compounds present, which can adsorb ions from solution, thereby causing bias in the actual analytical result [52]. According to Taylor [43] the analytes are all depressed depending on the level of the interference being more serious as the analyte mass decreases and the mass matrix increases, this effect is not well understood yet.

Analytical results must be unambiguous and reflect the actual composition of the sample. Hence a reliable instrumental method must be chosen, leading to results with proper traceability to ensure quality results and reliable values [42]. However, achieving traceability of measurement results in these materials is a complex task due to the lack of corresponding certified reference materials (CRM). Due to the high carbohydrate content, it is not easy to obtain reference material equivalent to honey in the market [16]. Caroli et al. [44] initiated a major effort to generate a specific CRM for chemicals based on honey matrix. Usable results are not yet available. For this reason, some authors use CRMs with other matrix nature, e.g., SRM 1515 – Apple

leave [16,27,39] and BCR 191 – Brown Bread [44].

In the case of lack of corresponding CRM, the method of additions is the most suitable to ensure the quality of the analysis CRM [45]. It has been applied mainly to overcome the problems caused by high content of carbohydrates found in the analyses with honey and pollen matrices [16,46–48]. However, it is laborious, expensive and cannot be used routinely. An alternative solution is the spike method, in which a known amount of analyte is added to a solution of the sample matrix and to a solution made with the standard solvent (water). The two sets of responses are compared based on values calculated from an analytical curve. If the value observed for the spike shows no significant difference with the value obtained for the analyte prepared in standard diluent (recovery), the sample matrix is considered valid and free of interference. If the recovery rate differs significantly, i.e., higher than 120% or lower than 80%, then components in the sample matrix may be causing interference, and adjustments must be made to the methodology. One strategy is to use a standard diluent whose composition matches the final sample matrix. A second strategy is to alter the sample matrix. In this work we adopted the spike method to check for the occurrence of matrix interference [49]. Finally internal standards are frequently used in ICP-MS analyses to correct for variations in the instrument response (drift) in the course of analysis and to correct the analyte concentrations in the samples [50].

The aim of this work was to assess the matrix effect and to propose an analytical method to quantify REE (Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Lu and Yb) and As, Bi, Cd, Pb, Se and In in honey and pollen of native Brazilian bees (*Tetragonisca angustula* – Jataí). Material digestion was carried out in microwave oven, a procedure that has allowed reductions in the amounts of reactants and digestion time [31], and analytical measurements were obtained by the Q-ICP-MS technique (Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry).

2. Experimental

To verify the matrix effect (honey and pollen) in determining REE (Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Lu and Yb), e As, Bi, Cd, Pb, Se and In, calibration curves of aqueous solutions, solutions with honey matrix and solutions with the pollen matrix were prepared. Evidence of the occurrence of interference was sought by comparing the slopes of the calibration curves of each matrix (honey and pollen) with the slope of the curve made with aqueous solution. Any differences in these values may indicate matrix interference in the determination of each element, since in aqueous solution the components of the original matrix are absent. Other figures of merit were also calculated to evaluate the quality of results [51]. Material digestion was carried out in microwave oven. This procedure has allowed reductions in the amounts of reactants and digestion time [31]. Analytical measurements were obtained by the quadrupole ICP-MS (Q-ICP-MS) technique (Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry). The ICP-MS is considered a reliable and fast analytical method for trace and ultra trace elements [40,41].

2.1. Reagents and solutions

All solutions were prepared using water (18.2 M Ω cm resistivity) purified with Millipore Mill-Q® purification system (Direct-Q 3). The reagents used in the digestion were: concentrated nitric acid (HNO₃ 65% m/m, Suprapur®, Merck, Germany) and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂ 30% m/m, Suprapur®, Merck). A solution HNO₃ 1% v/v (Suprapur®, Merck, Ultrapure) solution was used to clean the Q-ICP-MS apparatus between quantifications. Analytical curves were prepared with multi-element standard solution CertiPUR® (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) at concentration of 10 mg L⁻¹ (As, Bi, Cd, In, Pb, Se), and High-Purity mono-element standard solutions at a concentration of 10 mg L⁻¹ each (REE) including Tb (internal standard).

Table 1
Configurations and instrumental parameters for the microwave oven digestion.

Step	Temperature (°C)	Ramp time (min)	Hold time (min)
1	200	10	
2	200		15
3	Cooling, 30 min		

2.2. Instruments and apparatus

Digestions were performed in a microwave oven (Milestone Ethos 1). Configurations and instrumental parameters used are reported in Table 1. The element concentrations were measured with a Q-ICP-MS (Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry – Agilent 7700x).

The operational condition of the Q-ICP-MS equipment were optimized using a tuning solution (Ce, Co, Li, Tl and Y 1 µg L⁻¹ Agilent Technologies standard solution) aiming to obtain maximum intensity for mass/charge ratio of the following isotopes (⁷Li, ⁵⁹Co, ⁸⁹Y, ²⁰⁵Tl) keeping the oxide formation (¹⁴⁰Ce¹⁶O⁺/¹⁴⁰Ce) below 1.5% and the double charge formation (¹⁴⁰Ce²⁺/¹⁴⁰Ce⁺) below to 3.0%. Counts for ⁷Li, ⁸⁹Y e ²⁰⁵Tl were checked, and kept to a minimum, i.e., 8000 cps, 19,000 cps and 18,000 cps respectively, for no gas mode; and for ⁵⁹Co, ⁸⁹Y and ²⁰⁵Tl in collision cell mode (He), i.e., 5000 cps, 6000 cps and 11,000 cps respectively. All operating conditions are shown in Table 2. Q-ICP-MS was coupled to a collision cell to reduce spectral interferences from polyatomic ions.

Elements presenting the possibility of polyatomic or molecular spectral interference (As, Cd, Sc and Y) were analysed in collision cell mode (3.5 mL⁻¹ min flow rate for He) and the results for NIST 1515 SRM were not good in agreement to certified values (Table 2).

2.3. Honey and pollen samples

The procedure was applied on honey and pollen samples which came from five different areas within Quadrilátero Ferrífero, south-

Table 2
Parameters and operating conditions used for determination of chemical elements by ICP-MS Agilent equipment, model 7700x.

Spectrometer	Agilent 7700x
Spray chamber	Cyclonic
Nebuliser	Peek Mira Mist Nebuliser (0.1 rps)
Interface	Ni cones
Mass analyser	Quadrupole
RF Power (W)	1550
Ar-gas flow rates (L min ⁻¹)	
Plasma	15
Auxiliary	1.2
Nebuliser	1.2
Spray chamber temperature	2 °C
Dwell time (ms)	100–300
Number of replicates	3
Internal standard	Tb ¹⁵⁹
Maximum oxide and double charge production	¹⁴⁰ Ce ¹⁶ O ⁺ / ¹⁴⁰ Ce < 1.5% and ¹⁴⁰ Ce ²⁺ / ¹⁴⁰ Ce ⁺ < 3.0%
Standard mode	
Delay	5 s
Isotopes	Bi ²⁰⁹ , Ce ¹⁴⁰ , Dy ¹⁶² , Eu ¹⁵² , Er ¹⁶⁷ , Gd ¹⁵⁷ , Ho ¹⁶⁵ , In ¹¹⁵ , La ¹³⁹ , Lu ¹⁷⁵ , Nd ¹⁴⁴ , Pb ²⁰⁸ , Pr ¹⁴¹ , Se ⁷⁹ , Sm ¹⁵⁰ , Tm ¹⁶⁹ , Yb ¹⁷³
Sensibility	⁷ Li > 8000 cps, ⁸⁹ Y > 19,000 cps, ²⁰⁵ Tl > 18,000 cps
Collision cell mode	
Delay	30 s
Isotopes	As ⁷⁵ , Cd ¹¹¹ , Sc ⁴⁵ , Y ⁸⁹
Sensibility	⁵⁹ Co > 5000 cps, ⁸⁹ Y > 6000 cps, ²⁰⁵ Tl > 11,000 cps and ⁷⁸ Ar ₂ < 30 cps
He gas flow	3.5 mL min ⁻¹

central state of Minas Gerais, Brazil. All samples were collected from native Brazilian bees (jataí). The samples were stored in glass bottles and kept at 4–5 °C in dark place until analysis.

2.4. Matrix extracts

Matrix extracts are solutions prepared with honey and pollen separately in order to set up analytical curves set up with the actual material to be analysed (matrix matching). This matrix was prepared with a blend of samples (pool) of honey collected from native bee (jataí) hives from 10 different sources. A blend of these 10 honey samples were homogenised in a water bath and digested using a microwave oven decomposition system (Milestone Ethos 1). Aliquots of 1.0 g (± 0.1 mg) of this honey pool were accurately weighed in a PTFE digestion vessel. A volume of 7 mL of HNO₃ (65% m/m) and 1 mL H₂O₂ (30% m/m) were added for digestion. This mixture was pre-digested for 12 h followed digestion in microwave oven (Table 1). After digestion the vessels were closed and kept at room temperature for 12 h until complete cooling was achieved. This procedure aimed to reduce possible losses of elements by volatilisation and to optimise digestion. The resultant solutions were quantitatively transferred to 50 mL volumetric flasks and the volume was completed with ultrapure water.

The pollen matrix extract was prepared the same way with the difference that a mass of 0.5 g (± 0.1 mg). Both pools were stored in the dark and kept at a temperature of 4–5 °C. Previously to the preparation of analytical curves, matrices were homogenised and allowed to reach room temperature. Honey and pollen samples, blank solutions and SRM 1515 samples were prepared in the same way.

2.5. Analytical procedures

Calibration curves (10 mL each) were prepared from the stock solution with 10 mg L⁻¹ for the following elements: As, Bi, Cd, Ce, Dy, Eu, Er, Gd, Ho, In, La, Lu, Nd, Pb, Pr, Sc, Se, Sm, Tm, Y and Yb at concentrations: 0.125, 0.250, 1.0, 5.0, 10.0, 50.0 e 100.0 µg L⁻¹. To test possible matrix effect three groups of calibration curves were prepared: one aqueous curve and two matrix matching calibration curve (n=3 for both). The matrix matching calibration curves were prepared using 4 mL of matrix extract and in the case of curves of aqueous solutions it was added HNO₃ 1% v/v. The internal standard was added in the solutions used in the curve at a concentration of 2 µg L⁻¹. All analytical solutions used to set up the analytical curve were weighted in an analytical balance with 0.1 mg accuracy. Concentrations were adjusted according to the solution masses by the spectrometer manufacturer software.

2.6. Quality assurance

Several approaches for determining the limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) are possible [41,52,53]. For this work we used the approach based on the measurement of the standard deviation of 10 blank solutions. This value was then multiplied by the BEC (background equivalent concentration) and divided by 100 (Eqs. (2) and (3)) [53].

The certified Reference Materials (CRM) NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, USA) SRM 1515 (Apple Leaves) were used as external standards to monitor the quality of the analysis. In addition to that, spiked solutions were used. They were prepared using stock solutions with 4 mL matrix matching. Spiked solutions had final concentrations of 3 µg L⁻¹ for all elements evaluated in the curves and 2 µg L⁻¹ for the internal standard with a final volume of 10 mL. Blank solutions were used in the determination of LOD and LOQ. They were prepared under the same conditions as the matrix and analysed by Q-ICP-MS.

LOD and LOQ were determined for each element in honey and pollen matrices. The calculation of their values was based on the BEC

(background equivalent concentration) combined with the standard deviation of blank samples. The BEC is defined as the analyte concentration that produces a signal equal to that of the background and can be calculated according to Eq. (1), as proposed in the literature [53,54].

$$BEC = \frac{I_{Blank}}{m} \quad (1)$$

where:

I_{Blank} : Blank signal intensity.
 m : Slope of the analytical curve.

The minimum concentration that can be determined with greater accuracy will depend on the ratio of statistical fluctuations between background signal and analytical signal, and can be calculated by Eqs. (2) and (3):

$$LOD = \left(\frac{3RSD_{Blank} \times BEC}{100} \right) \quad (2)$$

$$LOQ = \left(\frac{10RSD_{Blank} \times BEC}{100} \right) \quad (3)$$

where:

RSD_{Blank} : Relative standard deviation to the blank ($n=10$).

2.7. Matrix effect measures

The incidence of matrix effect was determined comparing the slope of the aqueous calibration curves with correspondent slopes of each of the matrix extract curves. Regression parameters such as slope (a), interception (b), determination coefficient (r^2) and standardized residues were calculated with Minitab, statistical package software, version 14.0 (Minitab Inc., USA) (Table 3). To evaluate eventual significant differences between parameters of different curves, t-Student and F-Fischer tests were used [55].

Table 3

Average slopes and correlation coefficients (r^2) for analytes determined in aqueous matrix solution calibration ($n=6$), honey matrix solution matching calibration ($n=3$) and pollen matrix solution matching calibration ($n=3$) by ICP-MS.

Elements	Aqueous matrix solution			Curve with honey matrix			Curve with pollen matrix		
	Slope	RSD	Correlation coefficient (r^2)	Slope	RSD	Correlation coefficient (r^2)	Slope	RSD	Correlation coefficient (r^2)
As ^a	0.037	0.016	0.9999	0.018	0.00036	0.9999	0.0265	0.00027	0.9998
Bi ^{a,b}	0.66	0.018	0.9997	0.62	0.0035	0.9997	0.573	0.012	0.9989
Cd ^b	0.15	0.0025	0.9999	0.077	0.00052	0.9999	0.120	0.0034	0.9999
Ce ^b	0.72	0.076	0.9999	0.74	0.018	0.9994	0.604	0.010	0.9998
Dy ^a	0.25	0.004	0.9998	0.24	0.0039	0.9996	0.254	0.019	0.9998
Er	0.33	0.0061	0.9998	0.32	0.0063	0.9997	0.341	0.020	0.9993
Eu	0.45	0.011	0.9998	0.44	0.0090	0.9997	0.455	0.028	0.9999
Gd ^b	0.16	0.0029	0.9999	0.15	0.0030	0.9995	0.168	0.0039	0.9998
Ho	0.99	0.016	1.0000	0.96	0.019	0.9995	1.009	0.0049	0.9999
In	0.69	0.028	0.9999	0.70	0.0048	0.9999	0.670	0.015	0.9999
La ^b	0.72	0.088	0.9999	0.74	0.017	0.9996	0.576	0.0057	0.9996
Lu	1.03	0.027	1.0000	0.99	0.017	0.9999	1.043	0.0087	0.9999
Nd	0.11	0.0054	0.9999	0.11	0.0024	0.9995	0.106	0.012	0.9994
Pb ^b	0.19	0.0052	0.9999	0.18	0.00052	0.9999	0.175	0.037	0.9999
Pr	0.88	0.058	0.9999	0.89	0.017	0.9997	0.829	0.0089	0.9998
Sc ^b	0.074	0.0040	0.9997	0.074	0.0011	0.9999	0.362	0.038	0.9999
Se	0.004	0.0039	0.9999	0.0048	0.0041	0.9999	0.0042	0.0034	0.9999
Sm	0.14	0.0047	0.9999	0.14	0.0027	0.9996	0.140	0.0048	0.9997
Tm	1.03	0.022	0.9998	0.99	0.022	0.9996	1.051	0.035	0.9999
Y	0.30	0.045	0.9998	0.44	0.0069	0.9996	0.287	0.00030	0.9998
Yb	0.24	0.0078	0.9999	0.23	0.0043	0.9996	0.243	0.00063	0.9998

^a Slopes values of the second and fifth column was different according to Student *t*-test at a level of 0.05 of significance.

^b Slopes values of the second and eighth column was different according to Student *t*-test at a level of 0.05 of significance.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Matrix effect

The matrix effect was evaluated by comparing the slope of the curves obtained by the calibration with and without matrix matching. The results are shown in Table 3. The equations of the analytical curves describe the relationship of ratio (counts per second – CPS) on the y axis and the concentration of elements ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$) on the x axis. The t-Student test show significant differences between the slopes of the curves with aqueous matrix and honey matrix for the following elements: As, Bi and Dy. The other ones showed no significant difference at a significance level of 0.05.

Matrix effect was also observed for pollen curves. The t-Student test show significant differences between the slopes of the curves with aqueous matrix and pollen matrix for the following elements: Bi, Ce, Gd, La, Pb and Sc were revealed significant differences for the angular coefficients of their curves at a significance level of 0.05. The other elements exhibited no significant differences, which suggest the occurrence of matrix effect interference for this kind of material. The results shown in Table 3 for honey followed the same trend observed in the analytical curves of pollen.

3.2. Linearity of calibration curves

Linearity was assessed with the determination coefficient (r^2) who was used to check for the adjustment of the data to a linear model – values close to 1 indicate good adjustment. For this work values ranging from 0.125 to 100 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ were studied. The calibration curves for As, Bi, Cd, Ce, Dy, Eu, Er, Gd, Ho, In, La, Lu, Nd, Pb, Pr, Sc, Se, Sm, Tm, Y and Yb in honey and pollen of native Brazilian bees – Jataí (Table 3) were linear in this range.

3.3. Limits of detection (LOD) and limits of quantification (LOQ)

After choosing the appropriate curve, a new one was constructed and 10 blank sample solutions were measured. The standard deviations of the responses were calculated and these values were used to obtain

Table 4

Results of analytical parameters of the studied chemical elements for honey matrix and pollen matrix. LOD – limit of detection, LOQ – limit of quantification, BEC – background equivalent concentration).

Elements	Curve with honey matrix			Curve with pollen matrix		
	LOD ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$)	LOQ ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$)	BEC	LOD ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$)	LOQ ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$)	BEC
As	0.54	1.8	0.35	0.0036	0.012	0.13
Bi	0.018	0.059	0.011	0.0025	0.0083	0.016
Cd	0.033	0.11	0.021	0.0059	0.020	0.084
Ce	0.040	0.13	0.085	0.022	0.072	0.17
Dy	0.0014	0.0046	0.0036	0.0012	0.0040	0.0076
Er	0.00070	0.0023	0.0019	0.00087	0.0029	0.0043
Eu	0.0014	0.0047	0.0012	0.00018	0.00061	0.0037
Gd	0.0019	0.0064	0.0048	0.0011	0.0038	0.010
Ho	0.00028	0.00094	0.00054	0.00026	0.00087	0.0016
In	0.0012	0.0039	0.00076	0.00018	0.00061	0.0018
La	0.017	0.056	0.042	0.0028	0.0095	0.078
Lu	0.0017	0.0056	0.083	0.00085	0.0028	0.092
Nd	0.0087	0.029	0.028	0.0023	0.0078	0.059
Pb	3.1	10	2.2	0.029	0.095	2.2
Pr	0.0026	0.0087	0.0077	0.00054	0.0018	0.015
Sc	0.012	0.039	0.031	0.0057	0.019	0.04
Se	0.81	2.7	0.54	0.022	0.77	0.55
Sm	0.0022	0.0072	0.0042	0.00066	0.0022	0.0093
Tm	0.00012	0.00041	0.00027	0.00012	0.00041	0.00051
Y	0.016	0.054	0.025	0.0079	0.026	0.050
Yb	0.00077	0.0026	0.0016	0.00056	0.0019	0.0034

the LOD and LOQ [56].

Limits of detection and limits of quantification of 21 chemical elements determined in the honey matrix and pollen matrix are displayed in Table 4. The quantification limits of the method ranged from 0.00041 (Tm) to 10.3 (Pb) $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ for honey and from 0.00041 (Tm) to 0.095 (Pb) $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ for pollen.

3.4. Accuracy and precision

Due to the lack of a certified reference material for the analysis of honey and pollen, accuracy was determined by the recovery rate of elements both in SRM 1515 samples and in spiked solutions.

Table 5

Recovery rate of elements for the SRM-1515 Apple Leaves certified material (n=2) and the spiked solution with honey matrix.

Elements	SRM 1515 Reference	SRM 1515 Measured	Recovery rate	Added	Measured mass fraction	Recovery rate (%)
	conc ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$)	conc. ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$)	(%)	($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$)	Spiked samples ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$)	
As	0.04 ± 0.007	< LOQ	–	3.110	3.232	103.9
Bi		0.0020		3.110	2.891	93.0
Cd	0.013 ± 0.002	0.011	100.5	3.110	3.014	96.9
Ce	3.0 ^a	2.5	83.1	3.054	2.878	94.2
Dy		1.37		3.040	2.860	94.1
Er		0.46		3.084	2.826	91.6
Eu	0.2 ^a	0.20	102.2	3.065	2.923	95.4
Gd	3.0 ^a	2.4	78.4	3.060	2.859	93.4
Ho	0.32 ^{bc}	0.23	70.9	3.060	2.873	93.9
In		0.0020		3.110	3.025	97.3
La	20 ^a	17	82.7	3.063	2.907	94.9
Lu	0.02 ^{bc}	0.015	73.9	3.050	2.863	93.9
Nd	17 ^a	13	77.2	3.063	2.835	92.6
Pb	0.47 ± 0.024	0.28	63.7	3.110	2.969	95.5
Pr	4.17 ^{bc}	3.4	81.2	3.062	2.884	94.2
Sc	0.03 ^a	0.029	97.9	3.056	2.994	98.0
Se	0.05 ± 0.009	< LOQ	–	3.110	3.253	104.6
Sm	3.00 ^a	2.2	72.6	3.053	2.848	93.3
Tm	0.051 ^{bc}	0.039	77.0	3.063	2.842	92.8
Y		8.1		3.054	3.027	99.1
Yb	0.3 ^a	0.15	49.9	3.057	2.852	93.3

^a Non-certified tabulated reference values, other certified values SRM [58].

^b Values provided by GEOREM [57].

^c Kucera et al. [59].

The SRM 1515 reference material, despite being the most used one in the literature, is not similar to the organic material used in this study, but only As, Cd, Pb and Se have certified tabulated reference values [57]. The analysis of the methodology development of honey showed a recovery rate of SRM 1515 for Pb (63.7%) and Cd (100.5%), when compared with certified and tabulated values. The recovery rate of SRM 1515 for As and Se were not assessed because the contents of these elements are below their limit of quantification (LOQ) (Table 5).

Other elements of SRM 1515 presented recovery rate outside the range considered satisfactory when compared with non-certified tabulated reference value or compared with other research values determined and provided by Georem [58]. The recovery rates these elements were: Gd 78.4%, Ho 70.9%, Lu 73.9%, Nd 77.2%, Tm 77.0% and Yb 49.9% (Table 5).

The quality of procedures for extraction and quantification of elements in the studied methodology was considered satisfactory when evaluating the recovery elements in the spiked samples with honey matrix (91.6–103.9%) (Table 5).

The recovery rate was satisfactory when compared with non-certified tabulated reference values remained between 83.6% (Tm) and 109.7% (Eu) (Table 6). However the recovery rate of SRM 1515 for the analysis of pollen exhibited values outside the range considered satisfactory (Ho 76.5%, Sc 72.2%, Sm 78.7% and Yb 54.6%). The recovery rate of SRM 1515 for Pb was 63.9%, compared with certified tabulated reference values (Table 6).

The elements As and Se were not determined in SRM 1515 in the analysis of honey (Table 5), because their concentrations in this material were below the LOQ. Similar result was observed in the analysis of pollen when the elements As, Cd and Se were not determined in SRM 1515 (Table 6). The elements Bi, Dy, Er, In and Y were determined in SRM 1515 in the honey and pollen analysis. The recovery rates of these elements determined in SRM 1515 were not calculated, because there are no certified tabulated reference values for comparison.

A possible explanation is that the digestion method used was sufficient to digest pollen but not the reference material SRM 1515, a result that can be confirmed with the satisfactory recovery rate of the spiked samples, which ranges from 94.1% (Bi) to 115.6% (Ho) (Table 6).

Table 6

Recovery rate of elements for the SRM 1515-apple leaves certified material (n=2), and the spiked solution with pollen matrix.

Elements	SRM 1515 reference conc. ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$)	SRM 1515 measured conc. ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$)	Recovery rate (%)	Spiked solution ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$)	Measured mass fraction Spiked samples ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$)	Recovery rate (%)
As	0.04 ± 0.007	–	–	3.042	3.163	104.0
Bi	–	0.0010	–	3.042	2.863	94.1
Ce	3.0 ^a	2.7	91.7	3.054	2.909	95.3
Dy	–	1.5	–	3.040	2.947	96.9
Er	–	0.51	–	3.084	2.945	95.5
Eu	0.2 ^a	0.22	109.7	3.065	2.909	94.9
Gd	3.0 ^a	2.5	85.0	3.060	2.994	97.8
Ho	0.32 ^{bc}	0.24	76.5	3.060	3.537	115.6
In	–	0.0010	–	3.042	2.916	95.9
La	20 ^a	18	92.1	3.063	2.973	97.0
Lu	0.02 ^{bc}	0.017	83.9	3.035	2.96	97.5
Nd	17 ^a	14.6	86.0	3.063	2.936	95.8
Pb	0.47 ± 0.024	0.29	63.9	3.042	2.951	97.0
Pr	4.17 ^{bc}	3.7	89.6	3.062	3.3	107.8
Sc	0.03 ^a	0.022	72.2	3.041	2.973	97.8
Se	0.05 ± 0.009	–	–	3.042	3.148	103.5
Sm	3.00 ^a	2.36	78.7	3.053	2.897	94.9
Tm	0.05 ^{bc}	0.043	83.6	3.063	2.942	96.04
Y	–	8.8	–	3.039	2.982	98.1
Yb	0.3 ^a	0.16	54.6	3.042	2.92	96.0

^a Non-certified tabulated reference values, other certified values SRM [58].^b Values provided by GEOREM [57].^c Kucera et al. [59].

3.5. Application of the procedure to honey and pollen samples

In an attempt to characterize native Brazilian honey and pollen from the point of view of their mineral content, 21 elements were quantified from five different areas. The results for three independent samples for each area are listed in Table 7.

4. Conclusions

These results demonstrated the interference of the honey organic matrix in the quantification of elements As, Bi and Dy, as well as the interference of the pollen organic matrix in the quantification of elements Bi, Cd, Ce, Gd, La, Pb and Sc by Q-ICP-MS. The methodology

herein proposed led to low detection limits for the quantification of trace and ultra-trace elements for honey (0.00041–10.3 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) and pollen (0.00041–0.095 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$). The recovery rates for NIST 1515 SRM were not satisfactory in agreement to certified values. However, the spiked solution method shows also satisfactory recovery rates for honey (91.63–104.60%) and pollen (92.77–115.59%). This study indicated that method is accurate, precise and suitable for elements (As, Bi, Cd, Ce, Dy, Eu, Er, Gd, Ho, In, La, Lu, Nd, Pb, Pr, Sc, Se, Sm, Tm, Y and Yb) for honey of native Brazilian native bees – Jataí; and the same elements for pollen of the same origin.

Table 7Mean concentration ($\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$) of elements in honey and pollen samples from Iron Quadrangle-MG, Brazil (n=3), distributed by region, (mean ± SD^a).

Elements	Analysis of honey samples ($\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$)					Analysis of pollen samples ($\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$)				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
As	13.83 ± 1.90	4.23 ± 0.06	1.70 ± 0.01	1.70 ± 0.01	1.70 ± 0.01	361.30 ± 18.88	330.16 ± 4.49	93.21 ± 25.16	51.10 ± 22.19	7.00 ± 0.45
Bi	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD
Cd	0.11 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.06	0.11 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.06	0.30 ± 0.35	1.60 ± 0.01	1.64 ± 0.01	1.64 ± 0.01	1.60 ± 0.01	1.60 ± 0.01
Ce	0.23 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.01	7.32 ± 0.24	9.77 ± 0.81	0.20 ± 0.01	71.19 ± 6.40	129.72 ± 38.05	357.34 ± 20.69	116.60 ± 7.10	1.90 ± 0.01
Dy	0.31 ± 0.03	0.13 ± 0.06	0.34 ± 0.10	0.90 ± 0.17	0.17 ± 0.12	2.54 ± 0.17	5.69 ± 0.59	12.58 ± 3.16	9.60 ± 0.52	0.58 ± 0.10
Er	0.21 ± 0.03	0.60 ± 0.01	0.58 ± 0.01	0.67 ± 0.06	0.59 ± 0.02	1.41 ± 0.08	2.74 ± 0.21	6.68 ± 1.44	6.10 ± 0.52	0.43 ± 0.06
Eu	0.14 ± 0.02	0.10 ± 0.01	0.06 ± 0.01	0.28 ± 0.07	0.10 ± 0.01	2.07 ± 0.23	2.01 ± 0.47	3.89 ± 1.07	2.50 ± 0.17	0.27 ± 0.12
Gd	0.65 ± 0.02	0.23 ± 0.06	0.44 ± 0.06	1.20 ± 0.11	0.40 ± 0.20	5.70 ± 0.17	8.45 ± 0.37	20.33 ± 0.46	10.47 ± 0.64	0.20 ± 0.01
Ho	0.08 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.01	0.08 ± 0.01	0.21 ± 0.02	0.10 ± 0.01	0.60 ± 0.10	1.06 ± 0.14	2.39 ± 0.59	2.07 ± 0.12	0.10 ± 0.10
In	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	0.10 ± 0.01	0.08 ± 0.01	0.39 ± 0.09	0.53 ± 0.29	0.10 ± 0.01
La	0.85 ± 0.27	0.27 ± 0.12	2.33 ± 0.12	3.55 ± 0.05	0.20 ± 0.01	47.20 ± 9.49	61.49 ± 4.78	163.31 ± 1.15	58.53 ± 4.39	7.85 ± 0.21
Lu	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	0.20 ± 0.01	0.21 ± 0.01	0.61 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.01
Nd	1.70 ± 0.14	0.68 ± 0.13	2.30 ± 0.23	2.96 ± 0.75	0.60 ± 0.01	32.65 ± 2.04	54.01 ± 13.58	125.43 ± 12.39	49.83 ± 3.52	3.90 ± 0.10
Pb	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	36.33 ± 2.31	99.79 ± 26.23	463.31 ± 35.16	137.13 ± 79.27	1.20 ± 0.01
Pr	0.46 ± 0.08	0.20 ± 0.10	0.64 ± 0.08	1.08 ± 0.02	0.10 ± 0.01	9.33 ± 0.58	14.99 ± 3.37	34.79 ± 2.98	12.80 ± 1.04	1.13 ± 0.42
Sc	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	8.53 ± 0.45	21.67 ± 0.58	46.14 ± 2.42	14.67 ± 1.85	12.43 ± 0.50
Se	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD
Sm	0.46 ± 0.05	0.20 ± 0.01	0.36 ± 0.14	0.99 ± 0.08	0.20 ± 0.01	6.80 ± 0.44	9.25 ± 0.68	21.96 ± 1.00	9.80 ± 0.52	0.50 ± 0.01
Tm	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	0.20 ± 0.01	0.36 ± 0.03	1.06 ± 0.02	0.87 ± 0.12	0.10 ± 0.01
Y	2.02 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.10	0.55 ± 0.06	7.25 ± 0.04	0.11 ± 0.02	14.03 ± 1.00	28.13 ± 4.38	79.03 ± 4.27	65.90 ± 5.20	2.70 ± 0.14
Yb	0.04 ± 0.01	< LOD	0.04 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.01	< LOD	1.03 ± 0.40	2.24 ± 0.06	6.33 ± 0.39	5.57 ± 0.12	0.30 ± 0.01

LOD – Limit of detection.

^a SD – Standard deviation.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the Laboratory of Environmental Geochemistry, Department of Geology of School of Mines of the Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto (UFOP) and the Post Graduate Programme in Crustal Evolution and Natural Resources – Environmental Geology and Conservation of Natural Resources for the opportunity to perform this work as part of Ph.D. thesis work of the first author; Dr. Anne Hélène Fostier, Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP) for providing the SRM 1515 reference material; CAPES, Brazil, contract grant number 32007019004P4, for the financial support, granting a Ph.D. scholarship; and CNPq (Brazil) for funding the Project 303810/2010-6.

References

- [1] European Community Official, Journal of the European Communities 2002. (<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?Uri=OJ:L:2002:010:0047:0052:EN:PDF/>), 2013 (accessed 07.04.13).
- [2] P. Vit, L.P. Oddo, M.L. Marano, E.S. de Mejias, Venezuelan stingless bee honeys characterized by multivariate analysis of physicochemical properties, *Apidologie* 29 (1998) 377–389.
- [3] G. Di Marco, A. Manfredini, D. Leonardi, L. Canuti, S. Impei, A. Gismondi, A. Canini, Geographical, botanical and chemical profile of monofloral Italian honeys as food quality guarantee and territory brand, *Plant Biosyst.* (2016) 1–14.
- [4] B.L. Batista, L.R.S. da Silva, B.A. Rocha, J.L. Rodrigues, A.A. Berretta-Silva, T.O. Bonates, V.S.D. Gomes, R.M. Barbosa, F. Barbosa, Multi-element determination in Brazilian honey samples by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry and estimation of geographic origin with data mining techniques, *Food Res. Int.* 49 (2012) 209–215.
- [5] R. Constanza, R. D'arge, R. Groot, S. Farberk, M. Grasso, B. Hannon, K. Limburg, S. Naem, J. Paruelo, R.G. Raskin, P. Sutton, M. Van Den Belt, The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital, *Nature* 387 (1997) 253–260.
- [6] D. Veddele, R. Olschewski, T. Tscharnatke, A.-M. Klein, The contribution of non-managed social bees to coffee production: new economic insights based on farm-scale yield data, *Agrofor. Syst.* 73 (2008) 109–114.
- [7] V.C. Pires, F.A. Silveira, E.R. Sujii, K.R.S. Torezani, W.A. Rodrigues, F.A. Albuquerque, S.M.M. Rodrigues, A.N. Salomão, C.S.S. Pires, Importance of bee pollination for cotton production in conventional and organic farms in Brazil, *J. Pollinat. Ecol.* 13 (2014) 151–160.
- [8] M.C. de Lima, S. de A. Rocha, Efeitos dos Agrotóxicos sobre as Abelhas Silvestres no Brasil Proposta Metodológica de Acompanhamento, IBAMA, Brasília, 2012.
- [9] J.M.Y. Perosa, E.M.R. Arauco, M.L. de A. Santos, V.N.A. Albarracín, Parâmetros de Competitividade do Mel Brasileiro, Informações Econômicas, São Paulo, 2004.
- [10] FAO, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation, honey marketing and international trade, bees and their role in forest livelihoods, a guide to the services provided by bees and the sustainable harvesting, processing and marketing of their products 2009. (<http://ftp.fao.org/docrep/fao/012/i0842e/i0842e16.pdf/>), 2015 (accessed 05.02.15).
- [11] P.L. Van der Zee R, S. Andonov, R. Brodschneider, J.D. Charrière, Managed honey bee colony losses in Canada, China, Europe, Israel and Turkey, for the winters of 2008–9 and 2009–10, *J. Apic. Res.* 51 (2012) 100–114.
- [12] United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Colony Loss 2015–2016: Preliminary Results. (<http://beeinformed.org/results/colony-loss-2015-2016-preliminary-results/>), 2016 (accessed 13.04.16).
- [13] E. Genersch, Honey bee pathology: current threats to honey bees and beekeeping, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 87 (2010) 87–97.
- [14] S. Kluser, P. Neumann, M. Chauzat, J.S. Pettis, Global honey bee colony disorders and other threats to insect pollinators. UNEP Emerging Issues. 2-16. (http://www.unep.org/dewa/Portals/67/pdf/Global_Bee_Colony_Disorder_and_Threats_insect_pollinators.pdf), 2010 (accessed 05.03.15).
- [15] W.P. Silva, J.R.L. Paz, Abelhas sem ferrão: muito mais do que uma importância econômica, *Nat. Line* 10 (2012) 146–152.
- [16] M. Chudzinska, A. Debska, D. Baralkiewicz, Method validation for determination of 13 elements in honey samples by ICP-MS, *Accredit. Qual. Assur.* 17 (2012) 65–73.
- [17] V.L. Imperatriz-Fonseca, A.M. Saraiva, D. de Jong, Bees as Pollinators in Brazil: Assessing the Status and Suggesting Best Practices, Holos Press, Ribeirão Preto, 2006.
- [18] W.F. Barbosa, G. Smaghe, R.N.C. Guedes, Pesticides and reduced-risk insecticides, native bees and pantropical stingless bees: pitfalls and perspectives, *Pest. Manag. Sci.* 71 (2015) 1049–1053.
- [19] P. Pohl, Determination of metal content in honey by atomic absorption and emission spectrometries, *Trends Anal. Chem.* 29 (2009) 117–128.
- [20] C. Fedes, G. Montenegro, Heavy metals and other trace elements contents in Chilean honey, *Cienc. Invest. Agrar.* 33 (2006) 50–58.
- [21] S. Bogdanov, M. Haldimann, W. Luginbuhl, P. Gallmann, Minerals in honey: environmental, geographical and botanical aspects, *J. Apic. Res.* 46 (2007) 269–275.
- [22] Z. Vincevica-Gaile, M. Klavins, V. Rudovica, A. Viksna, Potentially toxic metals in honey from Latvia: is there connection with botanical origin?, in: R.A.R. Ramos, I. Straupe, T. Panagopoulos (Eds.), Recent Researches in Environment, Energy Systems and Sustainability, WSEAS Press, Faro, 2012, pp. 158–163.
- [23] R.J. Barker, Y. Lehner, M.R. Kunzmann, Pesticides and honey bees: nectar and pollen contamination in alfalfa treated with dimethoate, *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 9 (1980) 125–133.
- [24] S.H. Dreistadt, D.L. Dahlsten, G.W. Frankie, Urban ecology and insect ecology, *Bioscience* 40 (1990) 192–198.
- [25] F.Y. Iskander, Assessment of trace elements in honey produced on uranium mining reclaimed land, *Sci. Total Environ.* 192 (1996) 119–122.
- [26] P. Pohl, Determination of metal content in honey by atomic absorption and emission spectrometries, *Trends Anal. Chem.* 29 (2009) 117.
- [27] M.E. Conti, F. Botré, Honeybees and their products as potential bioindicators of heavy metals contamination, *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 69 (2001) 267–282.
- [28] R. Fernández-Torres, J.L. Pérez-Bernal, M.A. Bello-López, M. Callejón-Mochón, J.C. Jiménez-Sánchez, A. Guiraum-Pérez, Mineral content and botanical origin of Spanish honeys, *Talanta* 65 (2005) 686.
- [29] B. Raeymaekers, A prospective biomonitoring campaign with honey bees in a district of upper-Bavaria (Germany), *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 116 (2006) 233–243.
- [30] J.C.R. Garcia, R.I. Rodríguez, R.M.P. Crecente, J.B. Garcia, S.G. Martín, C.H. Latorre, Preliminary chemometric study on the use of honey as an environmental marker in Galicia (Northwestern Spain), *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2 (2006) 7206–7212.
- [31] C. Enrich, S. Boeykens, N. Caracciolo, G. Custo, C. Vázquez, Honey characterization by total reflection X-Ray fluorescence: evaluation of environmental quality and risk for the human health, *X-Ray Spectrom.* 36 (2007) 215–220.
- [32] Codex Alimentarius, Codex Standard for Honey, FAO, Rome, 2001.
- [33] W. Kaím, B. Schwederski, Bioinorganic Chemistry: Inorganic Elements in the Chemistry of Life, John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester, 1994.
- [34] C. Baird, M. Cann, Environmental Chemistry, W.H. Freeman Co, New York, 2005.
- [35] A. Harun, S.K. Zaaba, L.M. Kamarudin, A. Zakaria, R.S. Mohamed Farook, D.L. Ndzi, A.Y.M. Shakaff, Stingless bee colony health sensing through integrated wireless system, *J. Teknol. (Sci. Eng.)* 77 (2015) 85–90.
- [36] G. Tyler, Rare earth elements in soil and plant systems – a review, *Plant Soil* 267 (2004) 191–206.
- [37] J. Kokot Z, J. Matysiak, Simultaneous determination of major constituents of honeybee venom by LC-DAD, *Chromatographia* 69 (2009) 1–5.
- [38] H.U. Hebbar, K.E. Nandini, M.C. Lakshmi, R. Subramanian, Microwave and infrared heat processing of honey and its quality, *Food Sci. Technol. Res.* 9 (2003) 49–53.
- [39] F. Vanhaecke, H. Vanhoe, R. Damst, C. Vandecasteele, The use of internal standard in ICP-MS, *Talanta* 39 (1992) 737–742.
- [40] K.L. Linge, Trace element determination by ICP-AES and ICP-MS: developments and applications reported during 2004 and 2005, *Geostand. Geoanal. Res.* 30 (2007) 157–174.
- [41] M. Chudzinska, D. Baralkiewicz, Application of ICP-MS method of determination of 15 elements in honey with chemometric approach for the verification of their authenticity, *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 49 (2011) 2741–2749.
- [42] J. Morton, Z.A. Quinn, V.I. Baranov, S.D. Tanner, B. Spence, D. Wray, Y. Takaku, J.-M. Collard, Y. Kishi, ICP-MS applications, in: S.M. Nelms (Ed.) *Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry Handbook*, Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Oxford, UK, 2005, pp. 385–462. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781444305463.ch9>.
- [43] H.E. Taylor, *Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry: Practices and Techniques*, Academic Press, Colorado, 2001.
- [44] S. Caroli, G. Forte, R. Alessandrelli, R. Cresti, M. Spagnoli, S. D'Ilio, J. Pauwels, G.N. Kramer, A pilot study for the production a certified reference material for trace elements in honey, *Microchem. J.* 67 (2000) 227–233.
- [45] MAPA, Guia de validação e controle de qualidade analítica: fármacos em produtos para alimentação animal e medicamentos veterinários. Ministério da Agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento, Secretaria de Defesa Agropecuária, Brasília-DF, 2011.
- [46] B.L. Batista, L.R.S. da Silva, B.A. Rocha, J.L. Rodrigues, A.A. Berretta-Silva, T.O. Bonates, V.S.D. Gomes, R.M. Barbosa, F. Barbosa, Multi-element determination in Brazilian honey samples by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry and estimation of geographic origin with data mining techniques, *Food Res. Int.* 49 (2012) 209–215.
- [47] G. Forte, D'Ilio, S. Caroli, Honey as a candidate reference material for trace elements, *J. AOAC Int.* 84 (2001) 1972–1975.
- [48] M. Bettinelli, U. Baroni, S. Spezia, C. Terni, Determination of trace elements in honey using ETV-ICP-MS, *At. Spectrosc.* 2 (2000) 195–204.
- [49] D.B. Hibbert, *Quality Assurance for the Analytical Chemistry Laboratory*, Oxford University Press, New York, 2007.
- [50] F. Vanhaecke, H. Vanhoe, R. Damst, C. Vandecasteele, The use of internal standard in ICP-MS, *Talanta* 39 (1992) 737–742.
- [51] ISO/IEC 17025, General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories, 2005.
- [52] R.E.S. Froes, W. Borges Neto, R.L.P. Naveira, N.C. Silva, C.C. Nascentes, J.B.B. da Silva, Exploratory analysis and inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP OES) applied in the determination of metals in soft drinks, *Microchem. J.* 92 (2009) 68–72.
- [53] L.A. Fernando, Figures of merit for an ICP-echelle spectrometer system, *Spectrochim. Acta B* 10 (1982) 859–868.
- [54] B. Capelle, J.M. Mermert, J. Robin, Influence of the generator frequency on the spectral characteristics of inductively coupled plasma, *Appl. Spectrosc.* 36 (1982) 102–106.
- [55] INMETRO DOQ-CGCRE-008, Orientações sobre Validação de Métodos de Ensaios Químicos, 2010.
- [56] ICH Q2(R1), Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology. International Conference on Harmonization of technical requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use 2005, 17.
- [57] SRM, National Institute of Standards e technology 1993. Certificate of analysis, Standard Reference Material 1515 – Apple Leaves, 1993.
- [58] Geom, Geological and environmental reference materials 2013. (<http://geom.mpch-mainz.gwdg.de/>), 2015 (accessed 03.02.15).
- [59] J. Kucera, J. Mizera, Z. Randa, M. Vávrová, Pollution of agricultural crops with lanthanides, thorium and uranium studied by instrumental and radiochemical neutron activation analysis, *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* 271 (2007) 581–587.