Bench-scale calcination and sintering of a goethite iron ore sample
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1. Introduction

The total world resources of iron ore (indicated + inferred) are 170 billion tonnes. Approximately 12% of these resources, with an average Fe grade of 50.3%, are located in Brazil and are distributed among the following states: Minas Gerais — 70% (46.9% Fe), Mato Grosso do Sul — 15.3% (55.4% Fe), Pará — 13.1% (64.8% Fe) and others — 1.6% (Jesus, 2013).

More than 90% of the total world’s iron ore production is used in the steel industry (blast furnace and direct reduction) (Wolff, 2009). Iron ore with a Fe grade less than 64.0%, such as the actual ores of Minas Gerais and Mato Grosso do Sul, must be concentrated to achieve satisfactory specifications. The choice of beneficiation route for a specific iron ore depends on its mineralogical composition, Fe and SiO2 grades and size distribution (Araujo et al., 2003; Al-Wakeel and El-Rahman, 2006).

Most of the iron ores from Iron Quadrangle, Brazil are related to Proterozoic banded iron formations (BIFs) of the Cauê Formation (Carlos et al., 2014). The mineralogy of these ores is basically constituted by quartz and iron oxide minerals. In high-grade iron ores, hematite is the main iron mineral. The iron ore deposits of Iron Quadrangle were subjected at least to two metamorphism and deformation events. The metamorphic and deformation intensity increase from west to east and from south to north in the region. These processes beyond the supergene processes were the main factors that changed the texture of the iron ore of this region. In general, the mineral crystal size increases with metamorphism degree (Rosière, 1996; Mendes and Lagoério, 2012).

In Brazil, iron ore with a high grade of goethite (FeOOH) (LOI > 3.5%), independent of its Fe grade, is considered marginal because goethite causes excessive mud generation in the wet processing step, which is very harmful in flotation (slime coating phenomena) and filtration (blinding) operations. In agglomeration processes (pelletising and sintering), high goethite contents affect both the process control and the final quality of agglomerate (pellet or sinter) (Magalhães et al., 2007; Loo et al., 1994; Yang et al., 2000; Okazaki et al., 2003; Leonel, 2011).
Loo (2005) reviewed several studies conducted with mix sinter of iron ore containing a high proportion of goethitic (pisolitic) ore; the first of these studies was conducted in Australia in 1990. Although the high porosity and low density of goethitic ore increase its melt volume during sintering, which causes deterioration in granulation and low productivity, among other problems. Operation control (e.g. the addition of more water during granulation, the use of a faster flame front speed than that used in a sinter bed with a denser ore, maintenance of the green bed permeability during the sintering operation and other factors) can provide good performance for a sinter mix with a high proportion of goethite ores.

Detailed technological characterisations (i.e. physical, mineralogical and chemical characterisations) and specific technological experiments such as bench calculation and sintering of Brazilian iron ores with high goethite contents must be conducted to predict the behaviour of such ores in industrial processes. Such predictions would facilitate modifications to the actual beneficiation routes, which could support the rational use of these ores. This information will be very important for increasing the lifetimes of the mines located in the Iron Ore Quadrangle region.

This work presents the results of bench calculation and sintering studies performed with a natural iron ore sample with high goethite content from the Iron Quadrangle, Brazil; the samples contained different proportions of nucleating, intermediate and adherent particles.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

The raw iron ore sample (52 kg) preparation was constituted by comminution by jaw and roll crushers to −3.36-mm size. This size was determined based on work carried out by Umadevi et al. (2011), who studied the influence of iron ore fines (mean particle size from 1.22 to 3.95 mm) on mineralogy, productivity, physical and metallurgical properties of the sinter. The comminuted ore, after being homogenised, was split into sub-samples for the physical, chemical and mineralogical characterisations. For calculation and sintering tests, the sample was size classified by wet sieving in the following fraction sizes: −3.36 + 1.182 mm (nucleating particles), −1.186 + 0.209 mm (intermediate particles) and −0.209 mm (adherent particles), which were mixed in different proportions (Table 1).

The higher proportion of nucleating particles (70%) compared to adherent particles (30%) in SF1 aimed to intensify the granulation of mixture and, consequently, it could directly affect the permeability of bed sintering. The substitution of 15 wt.% of nucleating particles and adherent particles by intermediate particles, respectively in SF2 and SF3, was based on practise of industrial plant of sintering, which uses the maximum of 15 wt.% intermediary particles. This is done because intermediate particles do not have defined behaviour like nucleating or adherent particles. The higher proportion of adherent particles (70%) compared to particles nucleating (30%) in SF4 aimed to assess the main mechanisms of granulation using fine particles in mixture of sintering, which provide the increase in bed of sintering (Silva, 2014).

### Table 1
Constitutions of the natural sinter feed samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natural sinter feed sample</th>
<th>Nucleating (−3.36 + 1.182 mm)</th>
<th>Intermediate (−1.186 + 0.209 mm)</th>
<th>Adherent (−0.209 mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Calcination and sintering tests

For calcination tests, approximately 20 g of each sinter feed sample (SF1, SF2, SF3 and SF4) was placed in an alumina crucible that was previously weighed. The crucible with the sinter feed sample was again weighed and introduced into a furnace (FortLab, model ML 1300).

The run conditions of the calcination tests were as follows: natural atmosphere (air), ramp of 10 °C/min from 25 to 700 °C and an isotherm of 35 min at 700 °C, as previously used by Silva (2014); the tests were conducted on a TA Instruments model TGA Q50 thermogravimetric analyser. After the calcination process, the crucible with the calcined sample was removed from the furnace and cooled in a normal laboratory environment until it reached room temperature (~25 °C). The crucible was then reweighed to determine the sample weight loss. This procedure was performed three times for each sinter feed sample to produce samples of sufficient mass for the characterisation (physical, chemical and mineralogical) and sintering tests.

For the sintering tests, the calcined sinter feed samples (C1, C2, C3 and C4) were mixed with lime (CaO) and activated charcoal in the following proportions: 80 wt.% calcination product, 19.35 wt.% CaO and 0.65 wt.% charcoal. Because of the intrinsic characteristics of this iron ore sample, water was carefully added to the mixture during the granulation step to avoid deformation of the sintering bed (Sakamoto et al., 1993; Sakamoto et al., 1997). The control was done by visual inspection. Then, the granulated mixture (approximately 100 g) was placed over a refractory brick and sintered in the same furnace used in the calcination tests. After the sintering process, the samples were cooled in the furnace under natural atmosphere until they reached environmental temperature (~25 °C).

The run conditions for the sintering tests were as follows: i) natural atmosphere (air), ramp of 5 °C/min from 25 to 300 °C, isotherm of 10 min at 300 °C; ii) ramp of 5 °C/min to 700 °C, isotherm of 10 min at 700 °C; iii) ramp of 10 °C/min to 1000 °C, isotherm of 10 min at 1000 °C; iv) ramp of 10 °C/min to 1200 °C, isotherm of 10 min at...
A ore sample was performed by point count (Jones, 1987). For this purpose, the sample sections were previously covered with a thin skin (20 nm) of Au. The SEM/EDS operations were carried out at voltage of 20 kV and a current of 10 nA.

2.2.2.3. Physical characterisation. The densities of samples were determined using a Quantachrome model 1200e ultra pycnometer. The run conditions for the density determinations were as follows: small sample holder, 4 min purge with helium gas at environmental temperature and a target pressure of 127 kPa. Four measurements were performed on each sample. The final result was calculated from the average values of three measurements with a standard deviation of 0.005%.

The specific surface area and porosity parameters of samples were measured using a Quantachrome model Nova 1200e surface and porosity analyser. The run conditions for the determinations were as follows: degassing for 17 h at 195 °C, as previously established by thermal analysis (Silva, 2014); stabilisation time of 180 s. The multipoint Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method was used to determine the specific surface area. The pore size distribution was determined using the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) model.

2.2.2.4. Mechanical properties of sinter samples. The mechanical resistances of sintered samples were evaluated using the methodology described by Yang and Loo (1997):

\[
HV = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [(HV)_i \times (%Vol)_i] 
\]

where, \(i\) is a considered phase, and \(%Vol\) is volume proportion of a considered phase.

\[
TI = (0.000231)HV + (0.809) 
\]

The EmcoTest microhardness model DuraScan 10 was used to determine the Vickers Hardness (HV) of the polished sections of sintered samples. Since the magnetite was not identified in all sintered samples and the calcium silicates occurred in small areas to accommodate the adequate indentation, only the phases hematite and calcium ferrite were considered in HV determination. The strength applied was respectively of 0.1 and 0.3 kgf for hematite and calcium ferrites, determined after preliminary tests, considering the perfect visualisation of the impressions of diagonals. For determination of microhardness of each phase, 10 measurements with 15 s of indentation were performed. The final microhardness value for each phase was the arithmetic mean of the 10 measurements.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Chemical characterisation

Table 2 presents the chemical composition of natural sintered iron ore (SF), calcined sintered iron ore (C) and sinter (S). As evident from the results in the table, the Fe(T) grades of all sinter feed samples were higher than 64% and the ignition loss (LOI) values varied from 5.5 to 6.1%. These values are mainly related to the high grade of goethite in these samples because the alumina (Al2O3) content normally ascribed to kaolinite is low. The silica (SiO2) grades of all analysed samples were below the silica specification limit of a standard sinter feed (4–6%), and the phosphorus (P) grades were much higher than standard specifications (maximum 0.07%) (Lima, 1997).

After the calcination process, a considerable enrichment in Fe content was observed; this enrichment is related to the thermal decomposition of goethite at 320 °C. In their differential thermal analysis of the iron ore sample used in this study, Silva (2014) verified the appearance of an endothermic peak at approximately 320 °C. In addition, very small weight losses for this iron ore sample at temperatures above 700 °C have also been verified. According to Liu et al. (2012, 2013a, 2013b)
and Chen (2013), the dehydroxylation of goethite occurs at approximately 300 °C.

The FeO contents after the sintering process (0.38% to 0.93%) were higher compared to those of the sinter feed samples (0.2%) (Table 2). This result can likely be ascribed to the partial reduction of hematite to magnetite (via solid-state reaction) or formation of magnesiowustite in the presence of a liquid phase at temperatures above 1050 °C (Kalenga and Garbers-Craig, 2010). Magnetite was not identified in calcined products (Table 3) due to its very low concentration.

The average chemical composition of commercialised Brazilian sinters are as follows (Silva, 2014): Fe(T): 62.0–57.0%; FeO: 5.6–7.4%; CaO: 9.9–10.6%; SiO2: 5.6–5.77%; Al2O3: 0.94–1.49%; P: 0.05–0.064%; Mn: 0.38–0.61%; MgO: 1.25–1.41%). As evident in Table 2, the chemical ‘quality’ of sinters produced under the experimental conditions were superior, with the exceptions of the FeO, CaO and P grades, to current Brazilian sinters produced using iron ores with low goethite content. However, metallurgical properties such as tumble index, reducibility, have to be performed with sintered samples of this material from large scale.

3.2. Mineralogical and textural characterisation

3.2.1. X-ray diffraction

Table 3 presents the main phases identified in the studied natural sinter feed (SF), calcined (C) and sinter (S) samples produced under the experimental conditions. Only the hematite and goethite were identified in the SF samples, likely because of the very small proportions of other mineral phases (quartz, magnetite, kaolinite, gibbsite and talc) identified by Silva (2014) in the fraction size −0.037 mm of this iron ore. All of the calcined samples contained only hematite in their mineralogical composition, which is ascribed to the thermal decomposition at approximately 320 °C of goethite present in SF (Silva, 2014; Leonel,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Microtextural composition (vol.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SF1</td>
<td>He_g  He_l  He_m  He_T  Mg  Go_b  Go_e  Go_t  Qz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF2</td>
<td>18.3  6.0  16.3  40.6  0.03  2.6  55.6  58.2  1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF3</td>
<td>26.4  6.8  16.9  50.1  0.05  2.1  45.5  47.6  2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF4</td>
<td>30.1  6.9  15.1  52.1  0.06  2.0  43.4  45.4  2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

He_g — granular hematite; He_l — lamellar hematite; He_m — martitic hematite; He_T — total hematite; Mg — magnetite; Qz — quartz, Go_b — botryoidal goethite; Go_e — earthy goethite; Go_t — total goethite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sinter</th>
<th>Hematite</th>
<th>Magnetite</th>
<th>Silicates</th>
<th>Ferrites</th>
<th>Pores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>35.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>37.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heg = Heg + Hel + HeM; GoT = Gob + Goe.

a System Al2O3·CaO·SiO2.
b System CaO·Fe2O3.

Fig. 1. Typical optical microscopy images of the investigated iron ore sample studied illustrating: (a) botryoidal goethite — Go_b and earthy goethite — Go_e; (b) earthy goethite — Go_e and massive goethite — Go_m; (c) hematite morphologies (granular and lamellar — light region) in the earthy goethitic (Go_e) matrix (grey region); (d) mineral association between goethite and hematite martitic — He_m (light region). Note: Pr — pore.
Hematite was identified in all of the sinter samples; however, magnetite was identified only in sinter sample S4. The calcium silicates (identified as anorthite and gehlenite) were observed in sinter samples S1 and S2. Calcium ferrites (identified as brownmillerite, in accordance with Webster et al. (2013)) were observed in all sinter samples except sinter sample S2.

### 3.2.2. Optical microscopy

Table 4 present the semi-quantitative mineralogical and microtextural compositions of sinter feed and sintered products, performed by optical microscopy. Magnetite was identified only in S4, which is coherent with XRD data presented before. Probably, it is due to the higher proportion of adherent particles, which have higher proportion of magnetite as reported by Silva (2014). The main minerals in the studied iron ore sample, as identified and semi-quantified by optical microscopy, were goethite (48.8 wt.%) and hematite (50.1 wt.%). The typical microtextural aspects of this sample are shown in Fig. 1. The goethite occurs in massive, earthy and botryoidal forms (Figs. 1a and 1b). The hematite occurs as granular, lamellar and martite microtextures (Fig. 1c). High porosity (dark regions in images) and frequent association between goethite and martite were observed in this ore (Fig. 1d).

As evident in images of the calcination products presented in Fig. 2, the products were highly porous, which is related to the porosity increase due to goethite’s thermal decomposition to hematite (Fig. 2a). The micropores in the martite were converted into mesopores through coalescence. In addition, the hematite preserved the original texture of goethite (Figs. 2c). These images confirm that hematite was the majority phase in the calcination products, consistent with the X-ray diffraction results (Table 3).

Fig. 3 presents optical microscopy images of the sinter products. Fig. 3a shows the main phases in sinter sample S1: secondary hematite and calcium ferrites. The crystals of hematite exhibit a subeudric morphology, reflecting its irregular contacts. The calcium ferrites constitute the matrix, and the calcium ferrite crystals also exhibit subeudric morphology. The pores exhibit diverse sizes and forms.

The main microstructural aspect observed in sinter sample S2 (Fig. 3b) was the presence of the eutectic constituent. This constituent in iron ore sinters is defined as a structure of the CaO·SiO2·Fe2O3 system that is formed by the liquid decomposing into two solids at temperatures lower than 1300 °C during the cooling step, where the approximate chemical composition of the liquid is 20 wt.% CaO, 35 wt.% SiO2 and 45 wt.% Fe2O3 (Inazumi, 1975; Kasai and Saito, 1996; Kasai et al., 2000; Nyembwe, 2011). In addition, in the case of sinter sample S2, small crystals of calcium silicates were observed near the pores, as also observed by Magalhães et al. (2007).

The phases present in sinter sample S3 (Fig. 3c) and its microstructural aspects were identical to those observed in sinter sample S1: calcium ferrites and hematite in a highly porous matrix. Fig. 3d shows crystals of calcium silicates inside the pores of sinter sample S4. These crystals can be detrimental to the mechanical and metallurgical properties of the sinter because the calcium silicates are poorly reducible brittle phases (Loo and Bristow, 1994).
In general, we observed no correspondence among sintering mixture compositions (proportion and particle type in the sinter feed sample) and the mineralogical composition of the obtained sinter samples. This lack of correspondence is likely related to the fact that the intermediate particles (1.182 – 0.209 mm) in the sinter feed sample did not exhibit well-defined behaviour. These intermediate particles sometimes promoted a balance between hematite and calcium ferrites, as observed in sinter sample S2 (33% v/v average for both phases). In other cases, they promoted the formation of calcium ferrites, such as in the case of sinter sample S3 (41% v/v calcium ferrite and 24% v/v of hematite). See Table 4.

An increase in the proportion of adherent particles (−0.209 mm) in the sinter feed sample promoted an increase in porosity in the sinter samples. Sinter sample S4 exhibited the highest porosity value (38% v/v) and the highest proportion of hematite (42% v/v). Therefore, both the mineralogy of the iron ore sample and its previous calcination strongly influenced the sinter structure, consistent with the results of Kimura and Murao (2013) and Webster et al. (2013).

3.2.3. Scanning electronic microscopy with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM/EDS)

A backscattered electron (BSE) image of a particle of the studied iron ore, which is constituted basically by goethite, is presented in Fig. 4a. Fig. 4b presents the region R1 of this particle, where the chemical analysis was performed by EDS. As evident in Fig. 4a, high P2O5 levels (0.88 to 1.92%) are present in all of the analysed points in region R1. The Al2O3 grades in earthy goethite (points 1 and 4) are higher than in massive and botryoidal goethite, where the SiO2 grades (points 1 and 3) were higher than in earthy goethite.

Fig. 5 presents the BSE image of a goethite-martite mineral association. As evident in the figure, the particle has an external surface with high rugosity. The EDS analysis revealed the presence of Al2O3 in martite, which might stem from the isomorphic substitution of Fe3+ by Al3+ in its crystalline structure. P2O5 and SiO2 were identified in goethite (point 1).

Fig. 6 presents a BSE image of a typical martite crystal and its EDS chemical composition (points 1, 2 and 3), which have a high Fe2O3 grade (>94.97%). Figs. 7, 8 and 9 present typical BSE images of sinter samples. On the basis of the chemical composition (EDS) of the points in these images, hematite, calcium ferrites and calcium silicates were identified. The calcium ferrites and calcium silicates phases were previously identified in X-ray diffractograms of the sinter samples as brownmillerite, anorthite and gehlenite, respectively. All of the sinter particles have subeudric crystals and high porosity.

EDS analysis of the sinter samples revealed that P2O5 was present in calcium silicate sites (Fig. 8 – point 1). This result is consistent with the value reported by Magalhães et al. (2007). However, the higher proportion of P2O5 (23.8 to 27.11%) and CaO (45.4 to 47.13%) can be ascribed to apatite (Fig. 7), which was not identified in any sinter feed sample (optical microscopy and XRD) due to its low proportion in those samples.
The CaO grade of the eutectic constituent in sinter sample S2 was approximately 21% (Fig. 8), which is in accordance with the CaO content of the sinter sample reported by Kasai and Saito (1996).

Fig. 9 shows a quartz particle in sinter sample S3; the quartz particles do not react during the sintering process. As evident in the EDS analysis results, a balance exists between the Ca and Si levels in the calcium silicate chemical composition.

### 3.3. Physical characterisation

Table 5 summarises the physical properties of the natural sinter feed (SF), calcined sinter feed (C) and sinter (S) samples. The densities of the SF samples slightly increased from SF1 to SF4; this increase is likely related to the lower LOI levels in the sinter feed samples. After the calcination process, the densities were approximately constant at 4.90 g/cm$^3$.

![BSE image showing the typical mineral association between goethite (grey region) and martite (region of greater reflection) occurring in the iron ore sample. This image is from fraction size $-2.36\,\text{mm} + 1.67\,\text{mm}$, and the indicated points 1 and 2 show the chemical composition of the goethite massive and martite, respectively.](image1)

**Fig. 4.** (a) Backscattered electron (BSE) image of a goethite particle in the fraction size $-2.36\,\text{mm} + 1.67\,\text{mm}$ of the iron ore sample; (b) details of the R1 region with several goethite morphologies in the particle exhibited in image 'a'; the chemical composition of this particle is indicated by points 1, 2, 3 and 4, which represent Go$b$ — goethite botryoidal, Go$e$ — goethite earthy, Go$m$ — goethite massive and Go$e$ — goethite earthy, respectively.

![BSE image showing the chemical composition of points 1 and 2 in the iron ore sample.](image2)

**Fig. 5.** — BSE image showing the typical mineral association between goethite (grey region) and martite (region of greater reflection) occurring in the iron ore sample. This image is from fraction size $-2.36\,\text{mm} + 1.67\,\text{mm}$, and the indicated points 1 and 2 show the chemical composition of the goethite massive and martite, respectively.
Fig. 6. BSE image of martite with a typical microporous microtexture in the fraction size $\sim 2.36 \text{ mm} + 1.67 \text{ mm}$ of the iron ore sample. The indicated points 1, 2 and 3 in the image show the chemical composition of this mineral phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Chemical composition (wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. BSE image of the sinter sample S1 illustrating small crystals of calcium silicates (dark-grey region) dispersed within an all-calcium ferrite matrix (grey region). The indicated points 2, 3 and 4 show the chemical composition of apatite. The point 1 shows the chemical composition of calcium ferrite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Chemical composition (wt.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 8. BSE image of sinter sample S2 showing the presence of the eutectic constituent (or structure), hematite and apatite, as indicated by points 1, 2 and 3, respectively. These points also represent the chemical compositions of the mineral phases determined by EDS analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Chemical composition (wt-%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9. BSE image of sinter sample S3. The chemical composition of the main mineral phases presents are indicated by points 1 (quartz, dark-grey region), 2 (calcium silicate, grey region) and 3 (hematite, region of greater reflection).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Chemical composition (wt-%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The thermal decomposition of the goethite to hematite in the calcination step (Table 2) resulted in a significant increase in the specific surface area and specific pore volume. This behaviour is consistent with the results of the studies reported by Naono et al. (1987), Weissenborn et al. (1994), Zhang et al. (2010) and Liu et al. (2013a, 2013b).

The specific surface areas of the sinter samples were smaller than those of the calcination products. This result is related to pore structure destruction by the sintering process (Nampi et al., 2011; Strezov et al., 2011).

### 3.4. Mechanical properties of sinter samples

Table 6 presents the values of microhardness and microtumbler of the sintered samples calculated by equations (01) and (02). As can be observed, the microhardness of S1 (70% nucleating particles/30% adherent particles) increased from 639 HV to 647 HV in S4 (30% nucleating particles/70% adherent particles). The substitution of 15% of nucleating particles by intermediate particles (S2) resulted in decreasing of microhardness. Opposite behaviour happened when 15% of adherent particles were replaced by intermediate particles (S3).

The results of microhardness for hematite and calcium ferrite determined in this work are coherent with the values determined by Sinha and Ramma (2009): hematite (525–1246 HV) and calcium ferrite (454 HV–974 HV). It was verified the small reproducibility of microhardness values determined. In accordance with Bristow and Loo (1992) the small reproducibility of the microhardness determinations of sintered samples in bench scale can be ascribed to the irregularity measurements of cracks, which are associated to the residual inside stresses.

The microtumbler of sintered samples varied from 94.8% (S2) to 98.1% (S3). No relationship between the proportions of nucleate, intermediate and agglomerate particles used in mixture of sinter tests with the results of microtumbler calculated from Eq. (02) was identified.

### 4. Conclusions

On the basis of studies of bench-scale calcination followed by a sintering step conducted with an iron ore with a high grade of goethite, we concluded that all of the sinter feed samples exhibited an Fe grade greater than 64% and a very high P grade (~0.17%). After the calcination process, an enrichment of 3.1–3.4% of the Fe grade was observed because of the thermal decomposition of goethite to hematite, which involves the loss goethite's hydroxyl group. The sinter samples exhibited an average Fe grade of 59.1%. The main mineral phases in the iron ore sample were goethite and hematite. In the calcined samples, only hematite was identified because of the thermal decomposition of goethite at 320 °C. In the sinter samples, hematite, calcium ferrite (brownmillerite) and calcium silicate (anorthite and gehlenite) were identified. The sites for phosphorus occurrence were calcium silicates and apatite (an average of 26.1% by EDS analysis). Increases were observed in the specific surface area, specific pore volume and pore diameter of the calcined samples compared to those of the raw materials. The lowest values of specific surface area observed in the sinter samples (0.43–1.54 m²/g) compared to the calcined samples (16.6–17.9 m²/g) are likely related to the destruction of the pore structure by the sintering process. No relationship between the proportions of nucleating, intermediate and agglomerate particles used in mixture of sinter tests with the results of microtumbler was identified.
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