High-temperature bioleaching of nickel sulfides: thermodynamic and kinetic implications
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1. Introduction

Nickel is mainly applied in stainless steel production and the metal is produced by either pyrometallurgical (flash smelting) or hydrometallurgical processes such as (i) high-pressure acid leach (HPAL) or (ii) Caron Process for laterite ores, as well as (iii) oxidative leaching of sulfide ores. Furthermore, as high-grade nickel concentrates are becoming scarce, the development of new hydrometallurgical processes is becoming compelling, especially to treat low-grade or complex nickel ores. Recently, the Talvivaara start-up project in Finland has brought attention to nickel heap bioleaching. This environment-friendly biotechnology is suited to low-grade nickel sulfide ores due to its moderate capital investment, low operation costs and relatively simple operation procedures (Watling, 2008). Nickel sulfide bioleaching is impacted by the complex ore mineralogy where different sulfide minerals form mineralogical associations and thus galvanic interactions are usually present during leaching (Santos et al., 2006).

Different biotechnologies for nickel sulfides have been tested. Two bioleaching trials performed with BioHeap™ technology were run in Western Australia—the Radio Hill and Sherlock Bay projects. Radio Hill applied moderately thermophilic (Sulfobacillus and Thermoplasma) cultures at 50–55 °C to achieve 90% nickel recovery in a year’s time. The Sherlock Bay trial applied a salt tolerant mixed culture to bioleach a 0.4% disseminated nickel sulfide ore, achieving 88% metal recovery in 400 days (Watling, 2008).

Notwithstanding, the first industrial application of heap bioleaching technology is the Talvivaara project. In 2005, a 17,000 ton demonstration plant was constructed, consisting of an 8-m-tall heap stocked with an 80%<8 mm agglomerated ore sample, inoculated with indigenous bacteria, collected from the mine site (mesophilic and thermophilic strains). Bioleaching could be accomplished even under harsh winter conditions, due to the oxidation of the large quantity of pyrrhotite and pyrite that resulted in temperatures of over 50 °C in the pregnant leach solution (Riekkola-Vanhainen, 2007). The first metal was produced in October 2008 and heap bioleaching has been performed in two stages; namely, a primary heap pad with a residence time of 1.5 years and a secondary pad with a residence time of 3.5 years.

As high temperatures can be observed during industrial heap bioleaching, this study addresses the bioleaching of a complex nickel concentrate containing pentlandite and pyrrhotite, focusing on the temperature effects on nickel dissolution with iron- and sulfur-oxidizing bacteria. It has been found that the temperature improves bioleaching by increasing both leaching kinetics and metal recovery.

2. Materials and methods

A complex sulfide concentrate sample, kindly provided by Mineração Serra da Fortaleza (Grupo Votorantim, Brazil), was studied.
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The sample had a particle size of 80%<47 μm and was used as received. The specific surface area of the solid particles was determined as 2.13 m²/g by nitrogen absorption (BET isotherm), in a Nova 1000 device. The chemical analysis of the concentrate has shown 5.9% Ni, 28.1% Fe, 0.4% Co, 0.1% Cu and 21.2% S.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) analyses of the concentrate revealed pentlandite, pyrrhotite and chalcopyrite as the main sulfide phases. Moreover, magnetite and silicates were also observed. The main minerals, pentlandite and pyrrhotite, were not completely liberated, exhibiting very fine and intimately associated grains (Santos et al., 2006). Based on the chemical analysis, the concentrate was estimated as containing 36.6% silicates, 6.3% magnetite and 57.0% sulfides. In addition, the sulfur partition was determined as 70.0% pyrrhotite, 29.6% pentlandite and 0.4% chalcopyrite.

The mesophile microorganisms were isolated from a Brazilian zinc sulfide mine and microbial diversity studies applying DGGE and FISH techniques revealed the presence of both Acidithiobacillus ferroxidans and A. thiooxidans with the predominance of the former (Alves et al., 2009). The thermophilic strain was Sulfolobus thermosulfidooxidans from DSMZ (9293).

Bacterial growth was carried out in a Norris medium (0.2 g/L (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.4 g/L MgSO₄·7H₂O, and 0.1 g/L K₂HPO₄), complemented with yeast extract (Difco) (0.1 g/L) in the experiments with S. thermosulfidooxidans. Growth nutrient solution (50 mL) was adjusted to the required pH and transferred to 250 mL Erlenmeyer containing 50 g/L Fe(II) (as FeSO₄·7H₂O). Afterwards, 5 g of the cell was a standard electrochemical system containing three electrodes. The redox potential (Digimed) (vs. an Ag/AgCl electrode) was recorded. Two temperature-controlled orbital shakers (New Brunswick) at 34 °C and 50 °C provided mixing. Each flask was sampled by removing a 2 mL aliquot of the selected culture (containing at least 10⁸ cell/mL) together with distilled water to reach a final slurry volume of 100 mL. Finally, the pH was adjusted to the required value and the flask weight was recorded. The pH (Hanna HI931400) was adjusted using 1 mol/L sulfuric acid or 6 mol/L sodium hydroxide. The redox potential (Digimed) (Ag/AgCl electrode) was recorded. Two temperature-controlled orbital shakers (New Brunswick) at 34 °C and 50 °C provided mixing. Each flask was sampled by removing a 2 mL aliquot of the leach solution, which was then used for elemental analysis (Ni and Fe), by atomic absorption spectrometry (Perkin Elmer, AAnalyst 100). Evaporation losses were compensated by the addition of the Norris medium to the recorded weight. Sterile controls were also run in the presence of a thymol solution as an inhibitor.

Cyclic voltammetry experiments were performed with a Metrohm PGSTAT302N potentiostat controlled by a General Purpose Electrochemical System (GPES) v. 4.9 (Eco Chemie B.V.), at a scan rate of 50 mV/s over the potential region of −0.6 to 1.4 V (Ag/AgCl), starting in the anodic direction. The experiments were run in a water-jacket cell (50–150 mL) connected to a temperature controlled bath. The cell was a standard electrochemical system containing three electrodes: a platinum counter electrode, an Ag/AgCl reference electrode and the work electrode. All potential data was measured with respect to the Ag/AgCl reference electrode (0.197 V versus SHE, at 25 °C) in a 1 mol/L H₂SO₄ solution. Due to the powdered nature of the nickel concentrate, carbon-paste electrodes (CPE) were produced by a mixture of the ore (2.5 g), graphite powder (2.4 g) and solid paraffin (1.7 g). Around 200 mg carbon paste was added to a 5 mm diameter (19.6 mm² surface area) hollow electrode (Metrohm-D. RDE.BLA.NK). Prior to the scans, the electrolyte was degassed with high-purity N₂ (5.0) for 15 minutes and the gas was kept blowing over the solution surface during the experimental runs.

The morphological features of the leach residues were studied by SEM-EDS with a JEOL JSM 501 SEM microscope. The particles investigated were filtered and observed as powder or mounted in epoxy resin and then polished to a flat, mirrored surface. Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) was used for elemental analysis.

The software HSC Chemistry 5.0 (Outokumpu Research, Finland) was used to draw the Eh–pH diagrams. Unless otherwise stated, standard free energies of formation (ΔG°) for the different species were those provided by the software.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Bioleaching studies

The pH of the solution is an important parameter in bioleaching studies since there is an optimum range within which it can be effectively accomplished. There is a lower limit that controls bacterial growth, around pH 1.6 in the case of Acidithiobacillus sp. (Pina et al., 2005), and bioleaching can be performed at pH as low as 1.2 with S. thermosulfidooxidans. Conversely, for pH above 2.4, iron(III) starts to precipitate massively as jarosite (Pogliani and Donati, 2000) which may affect bioleaching efficiency (Santos et al., 2008). Therefore, the effect of pH on nickel bioleaching was assessed with a 2.5 g/L Fe(II) solution in the pH range 1.2–2.4, as shown in Fig. 1 for both mesophilic and thermophilic microorganisms.

As depicted in Fig. 1, the highest nickel dissolution is observed at a pH of 2.0–2.2 with mesophiles (34 °C) and 1.8 with moderate thermophiles (50 °C). Nickel dissolution reaches 50% at 300 hours with mesophiles, while extractions close to 80% were observed with S. thermosulfidooxidans, pointing out the positive effect of temperature on bioleaching (Dew et al., 2000). Temperature has also affected the abiotic nickel leaching, since the control experiment with mesophiles produced only 9% extraction at 34 °C (data not shown) and reached 20% in the experiment at 50 °C. This chemical effect was also observed by (Yang et al., 2008) for the leaching of a low-grade pentlandite ore assaying 0.5% Ni, 2.5% Fe and 1.4% Mg with a biologically produced sulfate-chloride Fe(III) solution, where nickel dissolution was improved from 18% to 45% as the temperature increased from 34 ºC to 50 ºC. Longer leaching periods (800 hours) resulted in 70% nickel extractions (Santos et al., 2006) with Acidithiobacillus and no increase with S. thermosulfidooxidans. The positive effect of temperature on bioleaching experiments was also noticed for copper (Marhual et al., 2008). Studying the bioleaching of a 0.5% Cu chalcopyrite ore, Marhual et al. (2008) enriched two different moderate thermophiles and one mesophile consortia with bioleaching activity. They observed 80% copper dissolution at 50 °C, whereas the yield was 40% with mesophiles. Therefore, bioleaching at 50 °C increases metal extraction as compared to that achieved with mesophile strains.

![Fig. 1. Effect of pH on nickel extraction by mesophilic and thermophilic microorganisms](image_url)
The effect of Fe$^{2+}$ concentration (added as FeSO$_4$) on nickel bioleaching is shown in Fig. 2. It can be seen that the Fe$^{2+}$ external source is not required for leaching with mesophiles; i.e. nickel extraction is not affected by the amount of Fe$^{2+}$ added to the reacting system. These results suggest that the iron dissolution from the concentrate was sufficient for maintaining a high potential (Deveci et al., 2004), sustaining nickel dissolution. This Fe$^{2+}$ content is a result of iron-containing phase dissolution (Santos et al., 2008). Pyrrhotite can be quickly and readily dissolved in acidic solutions, releasing Fe$^{2+}$ and H$_2$S (Mason and Rice, 2002). As the amount of pyrrhotite could be estimated at around 40% of the concentrate, it is a source of aqueous Fe$^{2+}$ and therefore, provides enough substrate for bacterial growth.

The lower iron concentration observed at the pH of 2.0 and 34 °C (Fig. 3), is ascribed to jarosite formation; especially over the surface of the pentlandite grains (Fig. 4).

Unlike the experiments with mesophiles, the addition of FeSO$_4$ does have an effect on nickel leaching with moderate thermophiles. Fig. 2 indicates that the largest leaching is achieved in the presence of low Fe$^{2+}$ concentrations, added to the system (2.5 g/L). Iron concentration was followed during these experiments and the results are depicted in Fig. 5. It can be seen that regardless of the amount of FeSO$_4$ added to the system, iron concentrations reach 8 g/L and even when the salt is not added, 7 g/L Fe is observed in the system. At lower pH, iron concentrations attain values as high as 7.5 g/L with mesophiles and 10 g/L with moderate thermophiles (Fig. 3). At 50 °C, iron precipitation is observed after 200 hours, when solution potential is over 550 mV (Fig. 6), in all the experiments where FeSO$_4$ was added. Therefore jarosite precipitation accounts for iron removal from the system, covering the concentrate grains (Fig. 4b).

Comparing iron and nickel dissolution, it can be noticed that at 34 °C, iron concentration peaks after around 250 hours (Fig. 3), when nickel dissolution is yet to be started (Fig. 1). This implies that iron is being dissolved from pyrrhotite, since it does not contain appreciable nickel content, as can be noticed in Fig. 4d. This figure shows a leached pyrrhotite grain surrounded by a less attacked pentlandite phase. After 350 hours, iron is almost depleted (Fig. 3), nickel dissolution levels out and elemental sulfur can be noticed in the solid particle (Fig. 4a and c). Nevertheless, as observed in Fig. 2, nickel and iron profiles are different in the experiments with *S. thermosulfidooxidans*. After 200 hours, nickel dissolution is around 70% and Figs. 3 and 5 show that iron is already precipitating from the solution, implying that both minerals (pyrrhotite and pentlandite) are being leached simultaneously. It is worth mentioning that in both temperatures, nickel dissolution is the largest at the pH of 1.8–2.0, when iron concentration is at least 2 g/L; this concentration seems to be sufficient for effective nickel dissolution despite iron precipitation.

Applying electrochemical techniques, Blázquez et al. (1999) showed the importance of bacteria as a catalyst for sulfur oxidation during ZnS bioleaching. In the present study, the bacteria did not oxidize all the elemental sulfur formed during leaching, since the latter was always observed as a reaction product (Fig. 4). Hansford and Vargas (2001) states that elemental sulfur oxidation only appreciably occurs in the absence of Fe$^{2+}$ ions. As the iron is dissolved from the concentrate during pyrrhotite leaching, ferrous iron is always available as a substrate for bacterial growth, hindering elemental sulfur oxidation. As pentlandite and pyrrhotite oxidation produces elemental sulfur (Kuklinskii et al., 2001; Lu et al., 2000; Sand et al., 2001), it could act as a barrier for the diffusion of oxidized products from the mineral surface (Schippers et al., 1996). Therefore, another important role for both bacteria would be elemental sulfur removal, enabling the diffusion of oxidized products from the sulfide and increasing extraction, as a result. This would especially occur after pyrrhotite consumption and the decrease in the ferrous iron concentration, as observed in the experiments with *Acidithiobacillus*. This would compel the bacteria to oxidize elemental sulfur by the direct mechanism (Berry et al., 1978; Mehta and Murr, 1983). This phenomenon is not so evident in the experiments with *S. thermosulfidooxidans*, due to the faster sulfide leaching kinetics observed.

The importance of sulfur-oxidizing bacteria was confirmed in industrial nickel bioleaching operations. *A. ferrooxidans* and *A. caldus* (with the predominance of the former) were observed in the Talvivaara project, 2 years after start up (Hallberg et al., 2007). The presence of *A. caldus* supports the finds of the present study, since this is a moderate thermophile bacterium with only sulfur-oxidizing capabilities (Semenza et al., 2002). It would readily thrive in the presence of these sulfides, as well as the elemental sulfur formed during nickel sulfide bioleaching.

An important difference when nickel bioleaching is compared at both temperatures can be observed in Fig. 6, where the change in solution potential along time is presented. It can be noticed that the solution potential (Eh) increases considerably faster in the presence of *S. thermosulfidooxidans*, as compared to the experiments with *A. ferrooxidans*. At the pH of 2.0, for instance, 100 hours is required for the potential to reach 500 mV (Ag/AgCl) with the former (50 °C), whereas the same potential is attained after 200 hours with the latter (34 °C). High potentials indicate high ferric iron concentrations and for those sulfides that do not show strong passivation, which seems to be the case of pentlandite and
pyrrhotite, it also means higher leaching rates, assuming that the indirect mechanism describes the start of the leaching process.

3.2. Leaching thermodynamics

The improved bioleaching kinetics and efficiency at higher temperatures could be ascribed to the thermodynamics of the process, as well as to leaching kinetics. Leaching thermodynamics is traditionally addressed through Eh–pH diagrams, which show stability fields for selected species and are a useful tool in sulphide leaching studies, providing insight on the greater bioleaching efficiency observed with *S. thermosulfidooxidans*. Fig. 7 depicts the Eh–pH diagrams for iron- and nickel sulphides calculated from thermodynamic data at 50 °C. The predominant areas of pyrrhotite and potassium jarosite is presented in Fig. 7a, in which pyrite (FeS2) is omitted to highlight the stability field of pyrrhotite. Clearly, pyrrhotite is not stable in acid solutions, decomposing to jarosite under oxidizing conditions above pH 2—as easily detected during bioleaching (Fig. 4a). Compared to Eh–pH diagrams at 25 °C, temperature only has a minor effect on the stability region of pyrrhotite and jarosite under bioleaching conditions.

However, temperature has an important role on the kinetics of jarosite formation. Activation energies for jarosite precipitation were determined by Dutrizac (1996), who also compiled data available in the literature. The author found activation energy values of around 100 kJ/mol, and the precipitation was much slower in the absence of seeds. Faster iron(II) oxidation and jarosite precipitation can be inferred from the iron concentration profiles during bioleaching at both 34 °C and 50 °C (Figs. 3 and 6). As pyrrhotite dissolution provides enough iron(II) for bacterial growth (Rodríguez et al., 2001), the
external iron(II) addition must be properly managed so that an ideal iron concentration is maintained in the bioleaching system; otherwise, jarosite formation could negatively impact bioleaching. This is especially true with moderate thermophiles (Fig. 2).

Eh–pH diagrams were also plotted for the system Ni-S-H2O. This was more challenging, since free energy of formation values for pentlandite are not easily available, especially in temperatures other than 25 °C (298 K). Therefore, pentlandite’s free energy of formation (−813 kJ/mol, at 25 °C) proposed by Warner et al. (1996), along with its standard enthalpy of formation (−837.37 kJ/mol, at 25 °C) (Waldner and Sitte, 2008), were used to estimate the entropy of formation for nickel sulfide. Afterwards, using these values, both the enthalpy and entropy of formation were determined at 50 °C with the help of the Cp data proposed by Berezovskii et al. (2001), and fitted to the following equation:

$$C_p = 1998.3 + 3.197 + \frac{53.72 \times 10^6}{T^2}$$ (1)

It must be stressed that the Berezovskii et al. (2001) data only covered the 6–34 °C range and that the Cp equation was then assumed to be valid up to 50 °C, i.e., Eq. (1) was extended to that temperature. Thereafter, entropy and enthalpy of formation were determined for the following chemical reaction:

$$4.5Fe(s) + 4.5Ni(s) + 8S(s) = Fe_{4.5}Ni_{4.5}S_8$$ (2)

Following this procedure, the standard free energy of formation for pentlandite was estimated at 50 °C as −810.9 kJ/mol. This value was then used in the Eh–pH diagram presented in Fig. 7. Pentlandite is the predominant nickel sulfide species, decomposing to different nickel sulfides (NiS2, NiS and Ni3S2) at a lower pH, which is consistent with the work of Warner et al. (1996). At very high acidities, nickel ions and H2S (Warner et al., 1996) could be produced directly from pentlandite. Again, temperature has a negligible effect on the nickel-sulfide field stabilities in the range 25–50 °C.

Fig. 7. Eh–pH diagram from pyrrhotite (a) and pentlandite (b). Temperature: 50 °C; concentration of dissolved species: 10⁻³ mol/L (a) and 10⁻² mol/L (b).

Fig. 8. Cyclic voltammetry spectra for the mixed pentlandite-pyrrhotite ore. Experimental conditions: carbon paste electrodes, 50 mV/s, 1 mol/L H₂SO₄.
3.3. Cyclic voltammetry studies

Cyclic voltammetry was applied to shed some light on the mixed sulfide oxidation kinetics. The mixed mineralogy of the nickel concentrate hinders a detailed interpretation of the spectra presented in Fig. 8, but some of its features are also observed in the spectra of pure pyrrhotite (Mikhlin, 2000; Nicol and Scott, 1979) (especially) and pentlandite (Warner et al., 1992).

The spectra shown in Fig. 8a and b are fairly similar, irrespective of the temperature studied. Going in the anodic direction, peaks are observed near 0 V, which appears only from the second cycle onwards. This is consistent with the oxidation of the H2S produced in the previous scan during the cathodic sweep: as proposed by both Mikhlin (2000) and Warner et al. (1992). Another peak is observed at 0.4 V, and it has been suggested that in this potential range, either elemental sulfur layers or iron-depleted surface FeS coatings are formed as a result of pyrrhotite oxidation (Mikhlin, 2000). Furthermore, according to Cruz et al. (2005), the peak at ~1.0 V for pyrrhotite implies that the passive layer is broken and the polysulﬁde (or elemental sulfur) is oxidized to sulfate. Alternatively, this peak could be assigned to pentlandite oxidation and subsequent S0 formation (Warner et al., 1992).

In the reduction sweep, a cathodic peak is observed at 0.2 V, reportedly assigned to the reduction of ferric oxyhydroxides to Fe2+ species. Another larger peak at about ~0.2 to 0.3 V was assigned to the reduction of elemental sulfur to H2S (Mikhlin, 2000; Nicol and Scott, 1979; Warner et al., 1992), regardless of the sulfide nature (pyrrhotite or pentlandite). At both temperatures, it is worth noting (Fig. 7b) that in the fifth cycle, there is a broad passive region, starting at ~0.6 V along with a decrease in the magnitude of the current density at ~1.0 V, which is not observed in the first cycle. This decrease in the current density for successive cycles indicates either a reduction in the electrode surface area, or more likely, that the oxidation products formed in the first cycles are passivating the electrode (Warner et al., 1992).

The most striking feature shown in Fig. 8 is observed in the peak observed at 0.4–0.8 V, where an increase in current density is observed up to the third cycle, leveling out in the last two sweeps. In this region, an increase of 22% and 73% of current density at 34 °C and 50 °C, respectively, is observed, highlighting the effect of temperature on the pyrrhotite oxidation process. This is reinforced in Fig. 8c that shows a larger increase in the current density (at 0.4 V and above) at 50 °C as compared to that observed at 34 °C. Furthermore, Fig. 8c also shows higher currents associated with pentlandite oxidation (~1.0 V) when both temperatures are compared. Accordingly, the higher current density values observed at 50 °C support the faster nickel bioleaching observed with S. thermosulfidooxidans.

4. Conclusions

Temperature has a beneficial effect on nickel sulfide bioleaching and greater and faster nickel dissolution is achieved with S. thermosulfidooxidans as compared to A. ferrooxidans. Nickel dissolution occurs at 34 °C, after most of the iron has been leached, suggesting a galvanic effect. Moderate thermophiles provide faster Eh increase (Fe2+ oxidation) and result in pyrrhotite and pentlandite being dissolved simultaneously with improved nickel leaching kinetics. Eh–pH diagrams plotted for both minerals showed no significant effect of temperature on the stability fields of both minerals. However, temperature increases sulfide oxidation kinetics as suggested by cyclic voltammetry performed on the mixed sulfide. The indirect mechanism seems to be effective in the beginning of the leaching cycle as a result of massive iron dissolution, but as Fe2+ concentration reduces due to jarosite precipitation and elemental sulfur is formed, the direct mechanism becomes important, especially in the final leaching steps.
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