Abstract

The clustering of discontinuity sets is not always a trivial task, especially when only the pole density diagram is used, the classical method. This process is extremely subjective since the size of the counting circle, the pole overlapping, and the presence of outliers between families make it difficult to define their characteristics. In these cases, it is useful to apply numerical and classical methods together. For that, this article proposes an algorithm based on the Fuzzy K-means method that allows the clustering of the discontinuities without the influence of these factors. The algorithm had its results compared to two fracture sets studied in literature and it has proved its efficiency.
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1. Introduction

The grouping of discontinuities in sets and the identification of their average orientation value are important tasks in geotechnical engineering, since the discontinuity sets define the system that controls the mechanical and hydraulic behavior of rock masses.

In general, the definition of discontinuity sets or families involves the visual interpretation of pole density diagrams, the classical method, which is achieved from the geotechnical mapping of rock mass and the characterization of discontinuity orientations.

The recognition of discontinuity families applying pole density diagrams is widely employed. It involves the interpretation of density contour plots computed by counting the number of poles that fall inside a counting circle used to calculate the pole frequency. However, this method is very subjective and leads to different clustering of the discontinuities (HAM-MAH & CURRAN, 1998).

The subjectivity is directly related to the procedure used in the classical method as well as to the visual interpretation of pole density diagrams. The main factors that influence the procedure in classical method are the size of counting circle, the overlapping between families and the outliers.

The overlapping makes it difficult to visually identify the boundaries between clusters and the perfect allocation of discontinuities that are within borderland, preventing the definition of the correct number of families. With regards to the outliers, they are dissimilar or inconsistent with the data set. They may be associated to a wrong data input, a mistake in the measurement process or codification.
When defining discontinuity family sets, it is necessary to define whether an observation is considered as an outlier and if it must be removed from the data set for better efficiency of the method (LANA et al., 2009). In case of the definition of discontinuity family sets outliers are not only associated to orientation measurement and codification mistakes, but they may indicate discontinuities with random distribution, which do not belong completely to any of the families, due to a tectonic processes that originated in the rock mass.

The wrong allocation of the discontinuities that are in the overlapping zone and the outliers in the families may influence the definition of the average orientation values, since they tend to distort the shape, size and density of the clusters (JAIN, 2010).

Moreover, the inherent subjectivity due to overlapping and the outliers is worsened by the size of counting circle used to calculate pole frequency in contour plots. Usually the counting circle size used is 1% of the hemispheric projection. However, this is an arbitrary size and in many cases leads to a wrong separation of families.

As a rule, the size of the counting circle depends on the number of the discontinuities measured in the rock mass. The bigger the number of the observations, and the smaller the size of counting circle, which results in a better visualization of families.

To try to solve this problem, FLINN (1958) proposed the relation 100/N to the counting circle size, where N is the number of discontinuities of the data set. However this relationship, although right, in many situations does not ensure the correct identification of families.

Therefore, the classical method is not entirely satisfactory in some cases, and it has led to the development of numerical techniques for the automatic identification of families without influence of the factor’s subjectivity (XU et al. 2012).

Among numerical techniques, certainly the cluster analysis is the most suitable tool. It applies to multivariate elements and its goal is to divide the data set in clusters or families formed by similar elements.

In order for the discontinuity sets to be part of families, it is necessarily a partitional algorithm, and the most indicated for cluster analysis is the Fuzzy K-means, since authors like HAMMAH & CURRAN (1998), JIMENEZ & SITAR (2006) and XU et al. (2012) have proven this, confirming its efficacy in this particular case.

The choice of Fuzzy K-means is justified because it clusters the discontinuities into families, and minimizes and allows the analysis of the factors of subjectivity from the classical method. The algorithm is linked with these factors in the following way:

- Overlapping between families: the Fuzzy K-means algorithm accounts for the uncertainty of a discontinuity that at the same time belongs to more than one family.
- Size of counting circle: This factor does not influence the results of the algorithm.
- Outliers: the algorithm applies rules to identify these observations.

Besides that, the algorithm does not need to know a priori the number of discontinuity families, because it provides validity indices that help identify this value.

Therefore, this work proposes an algorithm for discontinuity cluster analysis based on the Fuzzy K-means method that allows to identify the correct structure and number of families, their average orientation values, the discontinuities that are in the overlapping zone, and the observations classified as outliers.

### 2. The fuzzy k-means method

The Fuzzy K-means method is the most important partitional algorithm and nowadays it is widely applied in many scientific fields. Easily implementable, simple, efficient, and empirically successful are the main reasons for its popularity.

This algorithm assigns, for each observation in data set, degrees of membership in the different clusters, which provide information about the uncertainty of clustering. Thus, when an observation belongs to a cluster, it tends to have a high degree of membership to it and a low degree in the remaining clusters.

The degree of membership can be regarded as a probability, and it gives values between zero and one to each observation, from a function that depends on the distance between the observation and the cluster centroid. Thus, the smaller the distance, the closer to one is the value of degree of membership and vice versa.

### Parameters of the fuzzy k-means method for the definition of discontinuity families.

The influence of five parameters on the proposed algorithm is discussed: the definition of the number of families; computation of their average orientations; the initialization method; the distance measure; and identification of the outliers; and the overlapping zone.

To this end, consider the data set with N discontinuity orientations, originally given, for example, in the format dip/dip direction and converted to direction cosines. Therefore, each resulting vector can be represented by $X_i=(x_i, y_i, z_i)$. Where $x_i, y_i, z_i$ are the direction cosines.

To this end, it is necessary to consider the originally given data set with N discontinuity orientations, for example, in the format dip/dip direction, where they are converted into directional cosines, and where each resulting vector can be represented by $X_i=(x_i, y_i, z_i)$, whereby $x_i, y_i, z_i$ are the direction cosines.

The aim of the Fuzzy K-means method is to divide the discontinuity sets in K families through the minimization of the distance from the discontinuities and the center of the groups, seeking for regions with a high density of elements. To that end, the algorithm uses the following objective function:

$$
\min J(U, V) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{K} u_{ij}^m d^2(X_i, V_j); \quad K < N
$$

The term $d^2(X_i, V_j)$ is the distance between the discontinuity $X_i$ to the center (average orientation) $V_j$ of the family $j$. The degree of membership of discontinuity $i$ in the family $j$ is given by $u_{ij}^m$.

Where $m$ is known as the degree
of fuzzification that controls the overlapping between clusters; the bigger its value, the bigger is the intersection between families.

Researchers such as HAMMAH & CURRAN (1998) and XU et al. (2012) propose \( m=2 \). The degree of membership is computed from Equation 2.

\[ u_{ij} = \left( \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( \frac{1}{d^2(X_i, V_k)} \right)^{m-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \]  

(2)

**Distance measure**

According to KLOSE et al. (2005), the choice of the distance measure is the key factor for the success of cluster analysis, since it is responsible for assigning each discontinuity in the families.

For this reason, the distance is determined by the space in which variables lie. As the discontinuities are represented on the surface of a unit sphere, this distance must measure the angle between them and the center of the families.

The algorithm employs the sine squared distance measure, Equation 3. It was proposed by HAMMAH & CURRAN (1998) and it is normally used by many researchers for the analysis of orientation data, such as KLOSE et al. (2005), JIMENEZ & SITAR (2006) and XU et al. (2012).

\[ d^2(X_i, V_j) = 1 - (X_i \cdot V_j)^2 \]  

(3)

Where: \( X_i \cdot V_j \): is the dot product of vectors \( X_i \) and \( V_j \).

This measure is based on the acute angle between vectors and it does not require reversal of signs in the calculations in some particular situations.

**Calculation of the families’ average orientations**

During each iteration of the Fuzzy K-means method, the discontinuities are exchanged between families and the new group centers are then computed according to the current cluster results. Moreover, the degree of membership is updated.

The calculation of the average orientations is done using the eigenanalysis of the orientation matrix \( S_j \) (HAMMAH & CURRAN, 1998).

\[ S_j = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (u_{ij})^m x_i x_i & \sum_{i=1}^{N} (u_{ij})^m x_i y_i & \sum_{i=1}^{N} (u_{ij})^m x_i z_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^{N} (u_{ij})^m y_i x_i & \sum_{i=1}^{N} (u_{ij})^m y_i y_i & \sum_{i=1}^{N} (u_{ij})^m y_i z_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^{N} (u_{ij})^m z_i x_i & \sum_{i=1}^{N} (u_{ij})^m z_i y_i & \sum_{i=1}^{N} (u_{ij})^m z_i z_i \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall j = 1, 2 \ldots K \]  

(4)

In this way, the eigenvector \( \xi_j \), associated with the maximum eigenvalues of the \( S_j \) \((\tau_{j1} < \tau_{j2} < \tau_{j3})\) is an excellent estimator of \( V_j \), and it will be the mean vector of the family \( j \).

Besides that, the eigenanalysis avoids the reversal of signs in the calculation of average orientations.

**Validity indices**

The Fuzzy K-means requires a priori information about the number of families so that the sets can be partitioned. However, in many cases the information is little or does not exist. This problem is on the biggest challenges in the cluster analysis, and the appropriated solution is using the clusters validity indices. These indices seek for partitions with low variability and the maxim distance between their clusters centers.

In order to select the correct number of clusters, the algorithm should be computed for different values of \( K \) and for each run, the validity indices are calculated. Thus the indices are presented graphically and the best \( K \) is defined from the criteria of optimization and comparison at the same time of all validity indices.

According to ZHANG et al. (2007), more than one validity index should be used, because the same index may not identify correctly the number of clusters for all the data sets. Thus, in this work, the two validity indices referred to in the studies of HAMMAH & CURRAN (1998) and ZHANG et al. (2008) are adopted. The first is the Xie-Beni (XB) index and the other is a modified partition coefficient (VMPC); they are presented in the Table 1.
Identification of the outliers and the overlapping zone

As the sum of all membership degrees of an observation is always equal to one, an outlier can be identified by having a membership value for all families close to 1/K. The observations in the overlapping zone have a membership degree close to 1/KI, where KI is the number of families that share the same region and, therefore, the same observations.

The algorithm identifies and removes the outliers and the discontinuities in the overlapping zones to calculate the average orientations, establishing a minimum limit for inclusion of the discontinuity in a family based on the membership degree. Reasonable values are included in the range [0.6; 0.7] because according to ZHANG et al. (2008), observations with a membership degrees greater than or equal to 0.6 are strongly associated with the family.

The initialization and the run of the Algorithm

The Fuzzy K-means method is highly influenced by the initial centers, because different choices of them can lead to different partition of the same data set. This is because the algorithm may or may not converge to a global minimum of the objective function. In addition, this problem is more pronounced in cases where the boundaries between clusters are unclear (HAMMAH & CURRAN, 1998).

However, according to JAIN (2010), this problem can be solved by using an adaptive initialization method. Some of the most popular methods take into account the random generation of the initial centers far apart from each other. Thus the proposed algorithm, for each run, generates one hundred sets with K initial vectors (centers) each, from the Fisher distribution for spherical data with the mean (0, 0, 1) and concentration parameter equal to one.

In the next step, the algorithm seeks between the initial sets that which has the biggest minimum distance between their vectors and this set is selected to represent the initial centers of the families. Thus, after this parameter explanation, the algorithm can be summarized into the following steps:

1. Randomly generate the initial centers.
2. Measure the distance between each discontinuity to the centers of the families, Equation (3).
3. Calculate the membership degree, Equation (2).
4. Identify the outliers and the discontinuities in the overlapping zone.
5. Update the family centers, Equation (4).
6. Repeat steps 2,3,4 and 5, until the maximum difference between the same centers in two consecutive runs are not less than 1°.
7. Calculate the validity indices, Equations (5, 6).

3. Results and discussions

The results of the algorithm are compared to two fracture sets described in the literature. The outliers and the poles in the overlapping zone are represented by red squares and the average orientations by the red circles. The minimum degree of membership to include a discontinuity in a family in the two cases is 0.6.

Observe that there is divergence between the two methods only in the Family 2, and this is because of the exclusion of discontinuities of the overlapping zone and the inclusion of nine others for the algorithm, which leads to a change in the calculation of average orientations.

| Table 1
| Validity indices |
|-----------------|----------------|
| $V_{MPC} = 1 - \frac{K}{K-1} \times \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_{ij}^2 \right) \right]$ | Maximize |
| $X_B = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_{ij}^r \left[ 1 - (X_i \cdot V_j)^2 \right]}{N \left( \min_{j \neq K} \left[ 1 - (V_j \cdot V_K)^2 \right] \right)}$ | Minimize |

| Equations (5, 6) |
|-----------------|----------------|

Table 1: Validity indices
When the Figures 1-a and 1-b are compared, it is possible to note that the algorithm defines the families in the same way as LANA et al. (2009) do. In addition, Figure 1-c represents the behavior of the validity indices for five partitions and demonstrates that these correctly show $K=2$ is the best result.

Table 2 compares the average orientation values of the methods. Observe that there is divergence between the two methods only in the Family 2, and this is because of the exclusion of discontinuities of the overlapping zone and the inclusion of nine others for the algorithm, which leads to a change in the calculation of average orientations.
San manual cooper mine

In this case, the proposed algorithm is applied to a data set of fracture measurements from San Manual, a copper mine in Arizona, USA, presented by SHANLEY & MAHTAB (1978). This data set is used as a benchmark for several clustering algorithms. The authors have considered partitions with $K=3$ discontinuity sets (JIMENEZ & SITAR 2006).

Figure 2 compares the algorithm results with the results of the algorithms proposed by SHANLEY & MAHTAB (1978) because it is one of the first algorithms for clustering discontinuity and is widely used today, KLOSE et al. (2005).

The partitioning of the proposed algorithm suggests the presence of three families and classifies 71 observations as outliers or belonging the overlapping zone. It can be observed in Figure 2-c that validity indices correctly refer to three families.

Table 3 compares the results of the proposed algorithm for the average orientations with the results of SHANLEY & MAHTAB method (1978). It is important to emphasize that the exclusion of observations influences the calculation.
Due to the difficulties and uncertainty in the identification of the discontinuity families using the pole density diagrams, it is necessary to use numerical methods to facilitate the diagrams interpretations and to minimize the factors of subjectivity, especially in cases where overlapping and outliers are very noticeable.

Thus, this study proposes an algorithm based on the Fuzzy K-means method, that allows the automatic clustering of the discontinuities into families, the identification of the outliers and the observations in the overlapping zone. Furthermore, the algorithm uses the validity indices to assist the researcher in the definition of the number of clusters.

The results of the algorithm were compared to two fracture sets well defined in literature and it was shown to be coherent in the definition of: number, structure and average orientations of the families, outliers and the overlapping zone.

Therefore, the proposed algorithm is an important tool to assist the clustering of the discontinuities in families and to improve the understanding of the behavior of rock masses. The algorithm results should be in accordance with the geotechnical surveys of the area, which means, the results should always be verified and validated by the structures observed in the field.
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